Local Plan: Part 2 Sustainability Appraisal

UXBRI

15

(Proposed Submission Version 2014)



Woodland Centre

nts first

www.hillingdon.gov.uk/planning

Preface

This is the sustainability appraisal of the London Borough of Hillingdon's Local Plan Part 2. Part 2 contains the detailed policies and site allocations that will manage development to ensure the vision outlined in Part 1 can be achieved.

This consultation gives an opportunity for statutory consultees, businesses and members of the public to provide comments and suggestions on the development of Part 2 of the Local Plan.

Consultees are invited to comment on the scope of the sustainability appraisal and in particular whether it accurately captures the aims and objectives of other plans and programmes.

How to get involved

Making representations

You are invited to comment on this Sustainability Appraisal, which assesses the Proposed Submission version of the Local Plan Part 2. The publication period for the Local Plan Part 2 begins on 22nd September 2014 and ends on Tuesday 04th November 2014.

The Local Plan Part 2 is made up of the Development Management Policies, Site Allocations and Policies Map. All of these documents are available to view on the Council's website <u>www.hillingdon.gov.uk/planning</u> and at public libraries throughout the borough and at Planning Information Services, Level 3, Civic Centre, Uxbridge (Monday to Friday 9.00am - 5.00pm.

Representations should be submitted to the Council on a representation form, which is available to download from the Council website, <u>www.hillingdon.gov.uk/planning</u>. Copies of the form can also be obtained from Planning Information Services at the Civic Centre and all borough libraries. Alternatively, you can request a copy of the form by contacting the Planning Policy Team on 01895 250230 or by email at: localplan@hillingdon.gov.uk.

The representation forms can be submitted in the following ways:

- By email at: localplan@hillingdon.gov.uk
- By post to: Planning Policy Team, 3N/02, Residents Services, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge, UB8 1UW.
- By fax to: 01895 250 223 marking your response for the attention of the Planning Policy Team.

Please note that copies of representations will be made available on request for inspection at the Council offices. They cannot therefore be treated as confidential. All responses must be submitted by 5.00 pm on Tuesday 04th November 2014. Comments received after the end of the publication period do not have the right to be considered.

Contents

1.	Non Technical Summary	5
2.	Introduction	32
2.1.	Purpose of this Document	
2.2.	What is Sustainability Appraisal?	
2.3.	Links to Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment Directive	33
3.	Process and Methodology	34
3.1.	Sustainability Appraisal Process	34
3.2.	Applying the Process to the Local Plan	35
3.3.	Reviewing the Sustainability Framework	
3.4.	Feedback on Sustainability Appraisal through Consultation	36
4.	Sustainability Appraisal Framework	37
4.1.	Methodology	37
4.2.	Sustainability Framework	37
4.3.	Appraisal Methodology	
4.4.	Advisory Comments and Recommendations	40
4.5.	Difficulties Encountered	41
4.6.	Monitoring	42
5.	Other Plans and Programmes	43
5.1.	Background	43
5.2.	Plans and Programmes Update	43
5.3.	Relationship with other Relevant Plans	43
6.	Background to the Borough	46
6.1.	Establishing the Baseline	46
6.2.	2011 Census Data Overview	46
6.3.	Skills deficiency	47
6.4.	Traffic congestion	48
6.5.	Provision of new housing	48
6.6.	Culture and heritage	49
6.7.	Poor air quality	49
6.8.	Maintenance of the quality of sites designated for their biodiversity value	49

6.9.	Water Resources	50
6.10.	Threats posed by Climate Change	50
6.11.	Energy Efficiency of Existing Development	51
6.12.	Access to Open Space	51
6.13.	Healthy Living	51
6.14.	Noise	51
6.15.	Challenges	52
6.16.	Objectives for the Plan	55
7.	The Appraisal of Policies	
7.1.	Development Management Policies	58
8.	Appraisal of Policies Conclusions	94
8.1.	General Comments	94
9.	Appraisal of Site Allocations	
9.1.	Introduction	
9.2.	Methodology	106
9.3.	Application of Other Policies	106
9.5.	School Sites	
9.6.	Minerals Safeguarding	
9.7.	Land Designations	
10.	Appendix 1: Links to SEA Directive	
11.	Appendix 2: Sustainability Appraisal Framework	
12.	Appendix 3: Monitoring	
13.	Appendix 4: Plans and Programmes	152
14.	Appendix 5: Census Factsheets	156

1. Non Technical Summary

Introduction

- 1.1.1. The London Borough of Hillingdon has produced Part 2 of its Local Plan which identifies preferred sites for new development and provides a set of policies to guide developers and decision makers when considering planning applications.
- 1.1.2. At the heart of this Plan, and the wider planning framework, is the need to deliver sustainable development. As Part 2 of the Local Plan is an integral part of the planning framework and provides a significant influence on decision making, it is necessary to determine whether it also encourages sustainable development.
- 1.1.3. As a consequence, the Plan is required to undergo a Sustainability Appraisal in accordance with current legislation. Sustainability Appraisals must also, where appropriate, incorporate the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (2001/EC/42). This requires that a formal assessment to be undertaken of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment. The purpose of the Directive is *"to provide for a high level of protection of the environment and to contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes with a view to promoting sustainable development"*. Sustainability appraisal therefore is used to measure the ability of a plan to facilitate sustainable development.
- 1.1.4. The first stage in the Sustainability Appraisal process involved identifying other relevant policies, plans and programmes, and environmental protection objectives. The application of the appraisal will therefore ensure there is consistency between this Plan and the broader objectives of a range of planning documents. It also required an understanding of the existing environmental, social and economic situation. This allows a detailed assessment of the problems facing the Borough and therefore what needs to be at the heart of the plan.
- 1.1.5. The next step is to understand how to measure sustainability. Whilst sustainability can easily be defined as the balance of social, economic and environmental objectives it is much harder to understand what this means in reality. The standard approach is to break each of the components of sustainability into more meaningful parts. The table below provides an overview of what measurements will be used to

'score' the sustainability credentials of Part 2 of the Local Plan. It includes a series of questions to help guide the assessor in what each objective is trying to achieve.

SA Objectives	Sub Questions
To improve air quality to a standard that is acceptable for human and ecological health	Will it improve air quality?
To ensure sustainable management and conservation of wildlife and habitats	Will it maintain and enhance sites designated for their nature conservation interest?
representative of the borough and reverse those in decline	Will it conserve and enhance species diversity, and in particular avoid harm to protected species?
To protect and preserve landscape character,	Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and underused land?
historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to the	Will it improve the aesthetics of the borough?
community	Will it protect and enhance sites, features and areas of archaeological and cultural value?
	Will it improve the quality of water?
To avoid the adverse effects of activities and development on the natural functions of soil	Will it reduce water consumption?
and water systems	Will it improve soil quality?
	Will it minimise the loss of soils to development?
	Will the policy result in a decrease in green house gases?
	Will it reduce energy consumption?
To reduce contributions towards, and vulnerability to, the effects of climate change	Will it lead to an increased proportion of energy needs being met by renewable sources?
vulnerability to, the effects of climate change	Will it reduce emissions of ozone depleting substances?
	Will it reduce the risk of subsidence?
	Will it reduce the risk of damage from storm events?
	Will it minimise the risk of flooding?
To minimise the hazard risk from flooding in	Will it reduce threats to floodplains?
Hillingdon	Will development increase the amount of impermeable surfaces?
To ensure efficient use of non renewable resources and minimise the production of	Will it reduce consumption of materials and resources?
waste	Will it reduce household waste?

SA Objectives	Sub Questions
	Will it reduce construction waste?
	Will it increase waste recovery and recycling
	Will it reduce hazardous waste?
To encourage efficient use of available land	Will it encourage the use of previously developed land?
that will not foreclose on future options	Will it limit land use options for future use?
To create a variety of high quality residential	Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups?
environments that provide everybody with	Will it reduce the number of unfit homes?
the opportunity to live in a decent home	Will it reduce homelessness?
	Will it benefit the image of the area as a place to live?
	Will it promote safer environments?
	Will it encourage Healthy lifestyles?
To provide environments that promote	Will it reduce illness and death rates?
healthy and safe living and reduce anti social behaviour	Will it reduce actual levels of crime?
	Will it reduce the fear of crime?
	Will it reduce noise levels and concerns?
	Will it improve accessibility to key local services?
	Will it improve investment in key community services?
To improve the ready access to essential	Will it improve accessibility to major retail and business centres?
services and facilities for all residents	Will it make access more affordable?
	Will it make access easier for those without a car?
	Will it improve access for those with disabilities?
	Will it help reduce traffic volumes?
	Will it provide mixed-use development?
-	Will it provide new public transport routes?
To promote methods to reduce dependence on private transport and manage the effects of traffic on the environment	Will it locate large trip generators land uses near existing transport interchanges?
	Will it reduce the effect of HGV's on people and the environment?
	Will it reduce the length of trips?

SA Objectives	Sub Questions
	Will it increase the proportion of journeys using modes other than the car?
	Will it reduce the need to travel, including overseas?
To provide residents of all ages with the option to access education and skills based	Will it improve the qualifications and skills of young people?
enhancement	Will it improve the qualifications and skills of adults?
To encourage built environments of high quality urban design that assists in enhancing	Will it create places and spaces that will enhance community ownership?
areas amenity value and promote	Will it attract people and business to the area?
community sense of place	Will it improve the visual amenity of the area?
To promote growth in the economy whilst	Will it reduce incidents of pollution and contamination?
improving its environmental and social performance	Will it encourage good employee relations and management practices?
	Will it promote growth?
To enhance the image of the borough as a	Will it attract new investment to the borough?
location for new business	Will it attract skilled workers to the area?
	Will it encourage new and innovative business?
To encourage business to provide a range of	Will it diversify the employment market?
jobs and services that will support and enhance existing residential and	Will it encourage indigenous business?
employment areas	Will it encourage inward investment?
	Will it create land for business development?

1.1.6. The objectives and questions in the table are then used to determine the effectiveness of the plan. The performance of the plan is measured using a scoring system that determines whether a policy or site has an adverse or positive impact, and to what degree. It is highly uncommon for all policies and sites to score positively against all objectives, for example reusing an existing site in an industrial area would be a good use of land as it would avoid the use of greenfield land; in contrast it could result in an intensification of traffic in an area likely to already suffer from traffic problems. The key to the scoring is finding a balance and for all the policies to be applicable. In the example above, the protection of greenfield land combined with a policy requiring travel plans that improve traffic for the new

development would outweigh the use of rural site where there are no traffic problems.

1.1.7. In addition to ensuring the Plan meets sustainability goals, there is also a requirement to tackle existing problems in the Borough. The Plan is therefore set the task of delivering sustainable development whilst also helping to meet some of the major challenges facing the Borough. The following challenges have been identified within the Borough:

Economy

London Plan requirement to accommodate a proportion of 9-11,000 new jobs in Heathrow Opportunity Area

London Plan requirement to adopt a 'Limited Approach' to the transfer of industrial sites to other uses

London Plan requirement to deliver a proportion of new hotel growth

Resident working age population has below average qualifications and a low number of adult learners

Pockets of deprivation within the borough

Low level of business start ups and small affordable business units

Continued pressure for expansion at Heathrow Airport with economic benefits at a national level but significant adverse local impacts

Pressure on employment land for other uses

Threat to market position of Uxbridge through increased competition from other major retail centres

Threats to the viability and vitality of town and neighbourhood centres

Housing

Overall housing needs and affordable housing in the borough

Insufficient appropriate forms of affordable tenure, particularly intermediate housing

A proposed significant increase in Hillingdon's London Plan annual housing monitoring target

The need to agree a borough affordable housing target

The requirement in the London Plan for an increased number of gypsy and traveller pitches

Retention of indicative housing density targets Delivery of energy efficient housing

Community Facilities

Need to provide sufficient community infrastructure to underpin growth, as defined in the Strategic Infrastructure Plan (SIP)

Opportunity to improve access to community infrastructure, particularly for residents in areas of identified need.

Environmental Management

The need to improve the quality of and access to open spaces, rivers and canals for all groups of people in the community

The need to improve the quality of and access to open spaces, rivers and canals for all groups of people in the community

Pressure to balance the demands of growth and the impacts of flood risk with minimal harm on the environment

Pressure for release of green belt land, Metropolitan Open Land and Green Chains for other uses

The need to mitigate noise and air quality impacts, especially around Heathrow and the major road network

National and EU requirements to meet climate change and carbon dioxide emission targets

Threats to the biological and geological interests of the borough from development pressures and climate change

The need to meet the London Plan mineral apportionment figures

The need to minimise waste

Housing and Built Environment

The need to maintain the character, identity, suburban qualities and historic fabric of the Borough's places and buildings

Pressure for high density residential developments

Loss of local characteristics through unsympathetic design

Balancing new sustainability requirements to combat climate change whilst protecting the existing character of places, in particular within conservation areas

Demand for large scale commercial/ mixed use developments particularly in the southern part of the Borough on the fringe of Green Belt and/ or Conservation Areas.

Transportation

Poor north/south public transport links

Slow and deficient public transport access between Uxbridge and central London and other key centres

Delivery of planned public transport improvements at Heathrow, Hayes, West Drayton, Uxbridge and West Ruislip.

Congestion causing traffic delays, particularly in the 30 identified congestion hotspots

Pressures on the road and public transport network from the high volume of vehicles associated with Heathrow Airport

Poor and unsustainable access to and from local destinations, including shopping centres, employment and leisure concentrations/areas

High dependency on private vehicles and low proportion of trips made by cycling, walking and public transport.

Scoring System

1.1.8. The Sustainability Appraisal assesses the effect of Part 2 in helping to meet the sustainability objectives, as well as solving the problems identified in the borough. The appraisal takes the form of a scoring system which determines the performance of the plan. The compatibility of the policies and sites with other plans and programmes is 'scored' using the following system:

Symbol	Like Effect on the SA Objective
+ +	A likely highly positive effect
+	A likely positive effect
0	No significant effect or clear link
-	A likely negative effect

--A likely highly negative effect?Uncertain or insufficient information to determine effect/Potential positive or negative effect depending on implementation

Making Recommendations

1.1.9. The outcome of the appraisal and scoring process is a series of Advisory Comments and Recommendations that will identify areas for 'sustainability' improvement for the plan.

Recommendations

1.1.10. Recommendations should be treated as required changes, and the recommendation will relate to specific wording changes. The authority may not agree with the recommended wording change, or may adopt another approach, however, the principle behind the recommendation cannot be ignored and the plan should be amended to reflect this.

Advisory Comments

- 1.1.11. Advisory comments have been made where there is scope for improving a policy against the sustainability objectives, but a direct conflict with other plans and programmes does not exist. It could be that there is sufficient 'control' embedded within other plans and programmes to ensure future development does not undermine sustainability objectives. In this instance, it might be useful for the Local Plan policy to be all encompassing but not necessary.
 - 1.1.12. The following tables summarises the outcome of the appraisal of the policies:

Economy				
DME 1	Employment Uses in Designated Sites		++	
DME 2	Employment Uses Outside of Designated Sites		++	
DME 3	Office Development		++	

DME 4	Visitor Attractions	Advisory Comment 1: The policy needs could be expanded to consider encouraging the provision of visitor attractions, particularly in reference to the Colne Valley which is an objective of Part 1.	+
DME 5	Hotels and Visitor Accommodation	Advisory Comment 2: Specific air quality criteria could be included particularly given the baseline air quality position around Heathrow.	+
DME 6	Accessible Hotels and Visitor Accommodation		++
Town Centr	es		
DMTC 1	Town Centre Development		++
DMTC 2	Primary and Secondary Shopping Areas		
DMTC 3	Maintaining the Viability of Local Centres and Local Parades	Advisory Comment 3: This policy could be expanded to consider the research contained in the GLA's Takeaway Toolkit. The Policy could contain a caveat that the 15% could be restricted	+
DMTC 4	Location and Concentration of Town Centre Uses	further for proposals within close proximity to schools where appropriate.	
DMTC 5	Shopfronts		
New Home	5		
DMH 1	Safeguarding Existing Housing	Advisory Comment 4: The policy could be expanded to include lower parking ratios for subdivision in areas well served by public transport to reduce impacts on air quality.	+
DMH 2	Housing Mix		++
DMH 3	Office Conversions	Advisory Comment 5: The policy could be expanded to set out	+
DMH 4	Residential Conversions	alternative approaches for amenity space provision for office	+
DMH 5	Houses in Multiple Occupation	redevelopments if it cannot be secured onsite, for example through the encouraging offsite provisions.	+
DMH 6	Garden and Backland Development	Advisory Comment 6: The policy could be strengthened to reflect the National Planning Policy Framework objective to provide a net gain for biodiversity from new development. The following bullet point is recommended: 'Proposals demonstrate a net gain in biodiversity value'	+
DMH 7	Provision of Affordable Housing		++
DMH 8	Sheltered Housing and Care Homes		

Historic and Built Environment			
DMHB 1	Heritage Assets		++
DMHB 2	Scheduled Ancient Monuments		++
DMHB 3	Archaeological Priority Areas		++
DMHB 4	Listed Buildings		++
DMHB 5	Locally Listed Buildings		++
DMHB 6	Conservations Areas		++
DMHB 7	Areas of Special Local Character		++
DMHB 8	Gatehill Farm Estate and Copse Wood Estate Areas of Special Local Character		++
DMHB 9	Registered Historic Parks, Gardens and Landscapes		++
DMHB 10	War Memorials		++
DMHB 11	Heritage at Risk		++
DMHB 12	High Buildings and Structures	Advisory Comment 7: The policy could be revised to clarify some of the requirements (i.e. what is meant by human scale at ground level and what is covered by the microclimate) and include all environmental matters, with an emphasis on air quality which is likely to be a more significant matter than biodiversity - alternatively, the environmental objectives could be left to other policies in the plan.	+
DMHB 13	Design of Development		+
DMHB 14	Streets and Public Realm		+
DMHB 15	Planning for Safer Places		++
DMHB 16	Living Walls and Roofs	Advisory Comment 8: The policy could be expanded to refer to Living Screens which are a much cheaper and more viable alternative to living walls. As such they are more likely to be feasible. The supporting text could be expanded to link the benefits of livings walls and roofs with air quality improvements. This would provide greater justification for their use in air quality management areas and provide a stronger basis for their implementation during the development of planning proposals.	+

DMHB 17	Residential Amenity		?
DMHB 18	Housing Standards		++
DMHB 19	Private Outdoor Amenity Space		++
DMHB 20	Residential Density		++
DMHB 21	Play Space	Advisory Comment 9: The policy could be strengthened to ensure that all major residential developments contribute to a play space provision, either onsite or offsite. This would allow plan to not only meet future demand but to ensure the current deficiencies are also addressed.	+
DMHB 22	Alteration and Extensions to Residential Dwellings		++
DMHB 23	Outbuildings		++
DMHB 24	Basement Development		++
DMHB 25	Moorings		++
DMHB 26	Telecommunications		++
Environmen	tal Improvements		
DMEI 1	Sustainable Design Standards		++
DMEI 2	Reducing Carbon Emissions	Advisory Comment 10: The Policy or supporting text could be expanded to address the cost for offsite contributions and how the funds will be spent by the authority.	+
DMEI 3	Decentralised Energy		++
DMEI 4	Development in the Green Belt or on Metropolitan Open Land		++
DMEI 5	Development in Green Chains	Advisory Comment 11: The policy could be expanded to ensure development will only be supported where it results in a net environmental gain. The policy could require new development, where appropriate, to contribute to the All London Green Grid relevant to the scale and nature of the development.	+
DMEI 6	Development in Green Edge Locations	Advisory Comment 12: The policy could be expanded to ensure development will only be supported where it results in a net environmental gain.	+
DMEI 7	Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement	Recommendation 1: The policy could be revised to ensure that it requires a net environmental gain in line with the National Planning Policy Framework. Whilst it is acknowledged that not all ecological features can be preserved or retained, any development that results in a loss	+

		of ecological features must include a like for like replacement	
		within the development or seek offsite provisions. The	
		revised policy could also apply to the predevelopment	
		scenario and factor in any site clearance undertake prior to	
		planning submissions. The policy should be reworded in	
		accordance with the following:	
		All development proposals should aim to achieve a net	
		improvement in biodiversity value. The design and layout of	
		new development should retain and enhance any existing	
		features of biodiversity value within the site. Where the loss	
		of existing features is unavoidable, replacement features of	
		the equivalent or higher value should be provided on site.	
		Where development is constrained and cannot provide high	
		quality biodiversity enhancements on site, then appropriate	
		contributions will be sought to deliver offsite improvements.	
		Proposals for development that are likely to have an impact	
		on features of ecological value either onsite, or in close	
		proximity, must be accompanied by appropriate habitat	
		assessments. The proposals will only be acceptable if it can	
		be demonstrated that there will be no adverse impacts on	
		biodiversity features or that there will be a net gain in	
		biodiversity value.	
		Development that has a negative impact on designated sites,	
		protected species, sites of importance for nature	
		conservation areas, and/or biodiversity action plans species	
		and habitats shall be resisted.	
		All development alongside, or that benefits from a frontage	
		on to a main river or the Grand Union Canal will be expected	
		to contribute to the additional biodiversity improvements.	
		Advisory Comment 13: The policy could be revised so that it	
		reflects the specific requirements of the All London Green Grid	
DMEI 8	Waterside Development	and the Blue Ribbon Network. By reflecting these 'other plans	+
		and programmes' more closely, the policy will be strengthened	
		in relation to the sustainability objectives.	
DMEI 9	Farm Diversification		++
		Recommendation 2: This policy should be expanded to	
		ensure consideration of Flood Zone 3b is different to other	
DMEL10	Management of Flood	flood zones. Development in flood zone 3b should be	
DMEI 10	Risk	entirely resisted for 'incompatible' types of development as	+
		identified in table 3 of the practice guidance (Flood Risk and	
		Coastal Change). The policy needs to be changed in line with	
		·	

		the following recommendation:	
		All development proposals in flood risk zones 2 and 3a as well as all those over 1 hectare will be required to be accompanied by a flood risk assessment (FRA) to demonstrate that the development is resisteant and resilient to all relevant sources of flooding including surface water flooding. Critical Drainage Areas (CDA) as defined by the Local Authority will be treated the same way as flood zone 3a. The flood risk sequential test will therefore be applicable to all development proposals in CDAs. Developments may be required to make contributions to addressing surface water flood risk, where offsite mitigation measures are required. Proposals that result in an increased risk of flooding, onsite or offsite, will be resisted. All proposals should aim for a net	
DMEI 11	Water Management	improvement to flood risk management.Advisory Comment 14: The policy could include the objective for all new development to result in net-benefits for surface water flooding. The policy could then be expanded to ensure all built development (not just major) within critical drainage areas reduce the run-off to the levels set out in the plan for major development. The policy could also explicitly link SUDS to landscape and biodiversity provision and require developers to integrate drainage proposals into landscaping and for biodiversity enhancements.	+
DMEI 12	Water Quality	Recommendation 3: DMEI 12 on Water Quality is not related	-
DMEI 13	Protection of Ground Water Resources	 to Part 1 of the Local Plan. It is not clear how it would be feasibly considered at a planning application stage and therefore does not score positively against sustainability objectives. It could therefore be amalgamated with DME 13 to ensure a single policy on the protection of water quality and all controlled waters. This would remove the need for a separate policy on water quality. DMEI 12 and 13 could be reworded as follows: Protection of Water Resources Developments within source protection zones 1 and 2 will be resisted unless it can demonstrated that there is no risk to the quality or yield of the water resources. 	+
		Developments within source protection zone 3, Safeguard	

		 Zones and Water Protection Zones will only be permitted if it the risk to the relevant ground and surface water can be managed to appropriate levels throughout construction and operation. In general, development will not be permitted which would constitute a risk to the quality and/or quantity of water by means of: Pollution from development or as a result of the disturbance of contaminated land; Water abstraction unless adequate measures are taken to reduce this risk to an acceptable level. Significant water consumption unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation measures will reduce the consumption to an acceptable level relevant to the resource available. 	
DMEI 14	Water Efficiency		
DMEI 15	Water Efficiency in Homes	Advisory Comment 15: Policy DMEI 14 could be merged with DMEI 16 and 15 could be deleted. The policy could then be expanded to ensure new development achieves reductions in	+
DMEI 16	Water Efficiency in Non- Residential Developments	potable water through water efficient design and the reuse of collected grey water or rainwater (or both).	
DMEI 17	Development of Land Affected by Contamination	Advisory Comment 16: The policy should Include provision for groundwater protection.	+
DMEI 18	Air Quality	Advisory Comment 17: The policy could be expanded to make it a requirement for developers to place air quality improvements at the heart of green travel plans and for contributions to be sought from developments that result in a net increase in air quality impacts. The policy should include support for additional vegetation through living walls and roofs, and include provisions for offsite measures to improve air quality if onsite measures cannot be delivered.	+
MIN 1	Safeguarded Areas for Minerals		++
MIN 2	Additional Safeguarded Sites for Minerals		++
MIN 3	Prior Extraction		++
MIN 4	Minerals Capacity		++
MIN 5	Use of Farmland		++
MIN 6	Water Areas		++

MIN 7	Ready Mixed Concrete Plants		++
MIN 8	Landfilling		++
MIN 9	Effects on the Hydrogeological Regime		++
MIN 10	Noise Impacts		++
Community	, Infrastructure		
DMCI 1	Retention of Existing Community, Sport and Education Facilities		++
DMCI 2	New Community Infrastructure		++
DMCI 3	Public Open Space Provision		+
DMCI 4	Open Spaces in New Development		++
DMCI 5	Children's Play Areas		++
DMCI 6	Indoor Sports and Leisure Facilities		++
DMCI 7	Promoting Participation		++
DMCI 8	Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy		+
Transport a	nd Aviation		
DMT 1	Managing Transport Impacts		+
DMT 2	Highways Impacts		++
DMT 3	Road Safeguarding		++
DMT4	Public Transport		
DMT 5	Pedestrians and Cyclists	Advisory Comment 18: The policy could Include reference to the Grand Union Canal in part B of the policy as this contains an existing cycle highway that could benefit from significant improvements.	++
DMT 6	Vehicle Parking	Advisory Comment 19: The supporting text implies that the parking standards could be varied if wider planning objectives can be demonstrated. The Policy could include specific reference to air quality objectives as a reason for varying conditions given the prominence of air quality problems in the	+

		borough largely related to transport.	
DMT 7	Freight	Advisory Comment 20: The policy could be expanded to include an air quality objective in Part A of the policy.	+
DMAV 1	Safe Operation of Airports		++
DMAV 2	Heathrow Airport	Advisory Comment 21: Change (A)iv to - 'there is consistency with Council's Air Quality Management Plan objectives and there is a net improvement to air quality'	+
DMAV 3	RAF Northolt		++

Site Allocations

. ...

1.1.13. The site allocations have also been subject to the appraisal framework and scored in the same manner.

	Sus	taina	ability	Арр	oraisa	l Obj	ective	es									
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Housing Allocations	-	0	/	0	0	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	/	+	0	-
SA1 - Enterprise House, Hayes	site mai reb liste gen mal	. Con ntain uild w ed bui erate kes ef	cation wersic the ex vould r ildings more fective acter c	on and kistin result and traff e use	d reus g rela : in a r the co ic imp of bro	e of the tionship of the tionship of the tion of t	he exi nip wi mode vation g on a	isting th the rn eff area ir qua	build neigl icienc it is w Ility o	ing wo hbour y stru vithin. bjecti	ould o ing lis cture Hou ves.	constr sted b but c sing c On ba	ain de uildir could on the lance	esign ng; a c confli e site v the d	althou omple ct witl would levelo	igh wo ete h the likely pmen	ould t
	-	0	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	++	+	/
SA2 - Old Vinyl Factory and Gatefold Building, Hayes	exis acco incr per	the character of the area. - 0 + + + + + 0 0 0 + + + + / 0 + / 0 + + + / / 0 + + + / / This extensive site already benefits from a planning permission which incorporates the existing listed structures into the design and provides for high quality development that is accessible to all. The loss of industry from this site reduces the opportunity for a future increased provision for jobs although it is recognised that the extensive construction time period would provide a temporary increase in employment. The increase in traffic is concern in this air quality management area.															
SA3 - Eastern	-	0	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	++	+	/

	Sus	staina	ability	Арр	raisa	l Obje	ectiv	es									
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
end of Blyth Road, Hayes	As a	bove	1			l											1
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	+	0	-
SA4 - Packet Boat House, Cowley	fro hou altł	m the using. nough	ce thi loss o Addit there ith lim	f non ional are s	-resic traffi trong	lential c wou ; and a	uses Id als Iccess	pote o be sible t	ntially expec ransp	/ resul ted in ort lir	ting i the a nks. F	n a re air qua ligh q	educe ality n uality	d prov nanag v and a	vision emen access	for t area	
SA5 - Olympic	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	0	0	0
House, Grove Lane, Hilligndon	lan	d use.	ice an Limit an allo	ed er	nviror	ment	al im	oacts,	com			•					•
SA6 - Initial	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	0	0	0
House, Field End Road, Eastcote	acc cor	omm	appro odated tion a a net i	d offio rea b	ces bu ut wit	it was h goo	redu d trai	ndan nspor	t for n t links	nany y s, an ir	/ears.	The	site is	s next	to a		ld
	0	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	0	0	0
SA7 - 119 - 137 Charville Lane, Hayes	pla to l	nning nave k	cation perm been u e this	ission sed f	it ha or inc	s not y ongru	vet be ous u	een in Ises a	nplem nd the	entec e deliv	l. Aeı vering	rial pł	notog	raphs	show	the si	te
SA8 - no allocation																	
	-	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	0	0	0
SA9 - Porter's Way, West Drayton	allo of g	ocatio green	benef n refle and ga al traf	cts th arden	ne effi i spac	cient e impi	use o oves	f an a biod	irea w iversit	ith ex y as w	tensi vell as	ve ha s the i	rdstar reduc	nding.	The	inclus	ion
SA10 - Land to	-	+	/	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	+	++	-	0	-

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives
Site Allocation	Jobs Business Image Economic Growth Design & Amenity Skills & Education Traffic Reduction Accessibility Health, Noise, Safety, Crime High Quality Housing Climate Change Resources and Waste Efficient Land Use Flood Risk Soil & Water Landscape & Heritage Biodiversity
south of railway (including Nestles), Hayes	This allocation results in the reuse of a site that has been predominantly sources of employment in recent years. The site includes listed buildings and is in a conservation area. The combined loss of entire site for employment opportunity from this site and the neighbouring Blythe Road development is a concern. The policy requires the inclusion of a mixed use scheme but on balance the employment offer for the site is likely to be lower. However, the proposal would result in positive benefits for ecology and flood risk and will be an efficient use of brownfield land. The policy inclusion for education facilities on the site also scores positively. Environmentally and socially this development scores high, although the integration of the conservation area and listed building will be dependent on implementation of the relevant policies. The increase in traffic in Hayes is a concern given the baseline air quality position. On balance the site scores positively against the SA objectives.
SA11 - Western Core, Hayes	- + / + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
SA12 - Field End Road, Eastcote	0 + 0 0 + + + 0 0 + 0
SA13 - Charles Wilson Engineers, Uxbridge Road, Hayes	- + 0 0 0 + 0 0 + + + + 0 0 - 0 + 0 0 - This is a relatively small site and therefore will not score significantly positive or negatively. It is however a good use of an existing site, well connected and with limited environmental impacts. It will replace an existing non-residential use resulting in the reduced provision for employment.
SA14 - Royal Quay, Summerhouse Lane, Harefield	0 / / 0 0 ++ 0 0 0 ++ + + 0 0 + + 0 0 0 + 0 0 + 0

	Sus	staina	ability	Арр	raisa	l Obj	ectiv	es									
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
SA15 - Royal	0	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	+	/	+	0	0	+	0	0	-
Sorting Office, Ruislip Manor	pro	vides	ely sm additi e empl	onal	housi	ng op	portu	nities	. Hov					-			hat
	0	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	/	0	0	-
SA16 - West End Road, South Ruislip	par imp unc site am em cor	ticula bacts. certain e is in ount o ploym psider	ely smarriy as i The a nty abo close p of fligh nent go ation o ntatio	it wor llocat out th proxin nts. T enera over t	uld re tion a ne rela nity t he de ting t	sult ir Iso pr ations o RAF evelop penefi	n the l ovide hip w Nort ment ts. O	oss of s add ith ar nolt w will r n bala	f a bu itiona nenity hich esult ince t	ilder's I hous y, hea has be in the he site	yard sing o Ith an een gr loss o e scor	with pport d noi ranted of a si res po	assoc unitie se ob d con te the sitive	iated es. Th jective sent te at has	envire ere is es give o incr some caref	onmei en the ease t e	he
	-	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA17 - Braintree Road, South Ruislip	acc hou the	essibi using. alloc	ation lity for The in ation s nent o	r recr ncrea shoule	eatio se in d resu	nal us traffic ult in a	es, pr : is a c	ovide oncei	s for e n as i	emplo s the	ymer air qu	nt and ality i	resu mpac	lts in a ct. En	additi vironr	nenta	lly,
	-	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA18 - Chailey Industrial Estate, Pump Lane, Hayes	acc hou the	essibi using. alloc	ation lity for The in ation s nent of	r recr ncrea shoule	eatio se in d resu	nal us traffic ult in a	es, pr : is a c	ovide oncei	s for e n as i	emplo s the	ymer air qu	nt and ality i	resu mpao	lts in a ct. En	additi vironr	nenta	lly,
	-	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA19 - Silverdale Road/Western View, Hayes	for of I aga dev just	jobs. and tl inst n velopr tifies a	of indu The re nat inc nany o nent v a highe cies sh	edeve rease of the vill ind er der	elopm es the envir crease nsity l	ient o hous onme e traff out th	f the s ing op intal c ic. Th en als	site w oportu object ne pol o stat	ould l unities ives. icy ac tes th	howev s in th With cepts at car	ver re e area regar that l parki	sult ir a. It v ds to PTAL i ng ree	n a fa vill al air qu rating quire	r more so res Jality, gs are ments	e effic ult in it is li high v set o	ient u benef kely th which out in t	se its ne :he

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives
Site Allocation	Provision of Jobs Business Image Economic Growth Design & Amenity Skills & Education Traffic Reduction Accessibility Health, Noise, Safety, Crime High Quality Housing Climate Climate Change Resources and Waste Efficient Land Use Flood Risk Soil & Water Landscape & Biodiversity Air Quality
	average and a reduction in the ratio should be acceptable for sites with high PTAL ratings. Justifying high densities because of good transport connections conflicts with the policy requirements to have high parking ratios.
SA20 - Long	0 0 0 0 0 ++ 0 0 ++ ++ ++ 0 0 ++ 0 0
Lane, Hillingdon	This is a small scale proposal that should result in a net gain in environmental benefits.
SA21 - High	0 0 0 0 0 ++ 0 ++ ++ 0 0 + 0 ++ 0
Street, 25-30 Baker's Road, Uxbridge	This is a small scale proposal that will have negligible environmental benefits but will result in a more efficient use of land, improved design and increased amenity.
SA22 - Martin	0 0 0 0 0 + 0 0 ++ 0 ++ 0 ++ 0 ++ 0 0 ++ 0 0 0 ++ 0 0 0
Close and Valley Road,	This is a small scale proposal that will result in the same housing land use as present, but with higher design standards.
	- ++ ++ ++ ++ 0 0 ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ 0
SA23 - St Andrew's Park, Uxbridge	Permission has already been granted for this extensive mixed use development. It replaces an historic RAF base and invariably results in a lower employment offering albeit of a specialist nature. However, environmentally and socially, this allocation scores significantly positively across the objectives apart from those related to transport and air quality. The extensive nature of the development is that additional transport has to be accommodated and it is unlikely to result in net benefits for air quality and transport thus scoring less favourably against these objectives.
	- ++ ++ ++ ++ 0 0 0 ++ ++ ++ - 0 ++ 0 0 0
SA24 - Master Brewer and Hillingdon Circus	The allocation would result in a substantial mixed use development that promotes the positive use of the Green Belt to the west. The development would result in high quality designs and the redevelopment of sites that have been relatively unused for a number of years. There are uncertainties about the impact on the road network and invariably the use of these sites would have a negative impact on air quality.
	- ++ ++ ++ ++ 0 0 ++ ++ ++ - 0 / ++ 0 +
SA25 - Cape Boards, Iver Lane, Cowley	The allocation would result in a substantial mixed use development. It would secure further housing and employment uses on an unused site and therefore results in an efficient use of land. It scores highly against all the environmental objectives but would have air quality and transport impacts. There are also uncertainties about how the development would be compatible with neighbouring waste and industrial developments.

	Sus	staina	ability	Арр	raisa	l Obj	ectiv	es									
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	++	++	+	++	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA26 - Cygnet Way, Hayes	for of l	jobs. and t	of ind The r hat ind nany c	edeve crease	elopm es the	ent o hous	f the ing o _l	site w oporti	ould unitie	howe	ver re	sult ir	n a fa	r mor	e effic	ient u	se
	-	++	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA27 - Hayes Bridge, Uxbridge Road, Hayes	for of I aga	jobs. and t ainst r	of ind The r hat inc nany c policy	edeve rease of the	elopm es the envir	ent o hous onme	f the ing o _l	site w oporti	ould unitie	howe s in th	ver re ne are	sult ir a. It v	n a fa vill al	r mor so res	e effic ult in	ient u benef	se its
SA28 -	-	++	++	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
Padcroft Works, Tavistock Road, Yiewlsey	red the aga tra	luces site v inst r nspor	benefi the op would nany c t impa nd tha	portu increa of the cts ar	unity f ase th envir nd air	or a f he hou onme quali	uture using ental o ty. O	incre oppor object n bala	ased tuniti ives. ince t	provis es in There he de	sion fo the ar e are u velop	or job: ea. It uncert ment	s. The will a aintie repre	e rede also re es reg esents	evelop esult i arding an ef	ment n bene g ficient	of efits
	-	++	++	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	+	0
SA29 - Trout Road, Yiewsley	for red hou obj bal	jobs a levelo using ective ance	of indialbeit f pmen and er es. The the de nental	the ex t of th nploy ere ar velop	kisting ne site ment re unc ment	g uses e wou . It w certain repro	have have have have have have have have	been wever o resu regarc s an e	in a ł resu Ilt in ł ling tı fficier	nistor It in a penefi ranspont use	ic stat mixe its aga ort im	e of d d use ainst n pacts	eclin scher nany and a	e. Th me tha of the air qu	e at pro e envir ality.	vides onme On	for
SA30 -	0	+	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
Uxbridge Health Centre, Chippendale Waye, Uxbridge	use soc	than ial ob	oosal w prese jective a com	nt. T es. Th	he de ne pol	velop icy re	ment quire	shou ment	ld res	ult in	a net	gain i	n env	vironm	nental	and	
SA31 -	0	+	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0

	Sus	staina	ability	Арр	raisa	l Obj	jecti	ve	s												
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land	and Waste	Resources	Climate	Housing	Safety, Crime	Health, Noise,	Accessibility	I rattic Reduction	Education	Amenity	Design &	Growth	Image	Business	Provision of Jobs
Odyessy Business Park, South Ruislip	incr mix ber	reaseo ced us nefits	of bus d prov se sche agains tion sh	ision eme t st mai	for jo hat pi ny of t	bs. ovide the e	The r es fo nvirc	ed r h	evel ousi nent	opmo ng ar al ob	ent nd e ojec	of t emp tive	he si loym s. Th	te wo ent. ne loo	uld l It wi atio	now II al n ne	veve so r	er re esul	sult t in		
	-	+	+	0	+	+	0		0	++		+	++	0	0		++	0		0	0
SA32 - St Andrew's Park, Annington Homes site	rep of a sigr	laces spec	on has an his ialist r ntly po	toric nature	RAF b e. Ho	ase a weve	ind ii er, en	nva Ivir	ariab onm	ly res ienta	sult Ily	s in and	a lov socia	ver e ally, t	mplo his a	ym lloc	ent atio	offe n sc	ring ore	S	
Rebalancing Employment Land	-	0	0	0	0	++	0		0	0		0	0	-	0		+	++		++	++
Horton Road, Yiewsley	per dev wit air	iod. elope hin ar qualit	effect This er ers, bu n air qu y obje uces ai	sines uality ctive	s rete ses ar mana s unle	ntion nd res agem ss th	of t sider ent a ere s	he its are	exist over a an	ting u the d the	uses use eref	s an es ac ore	d the cept cann	refor able ot sc	e pro withi ore p	ovic n tł oosi	les o ne a tive	certa rea. ly ag	int [.] Th gain	y to e sit st tl	e is ne
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0		0	0		0	0	-	0		+	++		++	++
Stockley Close, Heathrow	per dev with air tha and	iod. relope hin ar qualit t redu l the o	effect This is ers, bu n air qu y obje uces ai cumula ed par	ensu sines uality ctives r qua ative	res re ses ar mana s unle lity in impac	tention agem ss th apact cts of	on of sider ent a ere s s. Tl inte	f th nts are igr ne nsi	ne ex over a an nifica site i fying	isting the d the nt re mmo g em	g us use eref edev edia ploy	ses a s ac ore velo atel yme	and the ccept cann pmei y bore ent us	heref able ot sc nt of ders es ne	ore p withi ore p the s the P eeds	orov n tł oosi ite rolo	vide ne a tive con ogis	s ce rea. ly ag nes f Par	rtai Th gain orw c all	nty e sit st tl vard	to :e is ne
Prologis Park,	-	0	0	0	0	++	0		0	0		0	0	-	0		/	++		++	++
Stockley Park, Hayes		•	effect This is		-						•	•									

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives
Site Allocation	Provision of Jobs Business Image Economic Growth Design & Amenity Skills & Education Traffic Reduction Accessibility Health, Noise, Safety, Crime High Quality Housing Climate Climate Change Resources and Waste Efficient Land Use Flood Risk Soil & Water Landscape & Biodiversity Air Quality
	developers, businesses and residents over the uses acceptable within the area. The site borders residential units and as such as the potential to impact on the amenity of residents. Careful consideration needs to be give as to how landscaping could be used to protect the residents from this development site. The site is within an air quality management area and therefore cannot score positively against the air quality objectives unless there significant redevelopment of the site comes forward that reduces air quality impacts. The site immediately borders the Stockley Park allocation and the cumulative impacts of intensifying employment uses needs to be carefully considered particularly in respect to air quality and transportation.
	- / 0 0 0 ++ 0 0 0 0 0 / 0 + ++ ++ ++
Rigby Lane, Swallowfield Way, Betham Road, Dawley Road West, Hayes	The plan effectively safeguards this area for employment uses over the course of the plan period. This is ensures retention of the existing uses and therefore provides certainty to developers, businesses and residents over the uses acceptable within the area. The site borders the Grand Union Canal which is a Metropolitan Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. Any further development could assist positively in enhancing the Canal for wildlife. Access to the site is good with improve links forecast with the completion of Crossrail. The site is within an air quality management area and therefore cannot score positively against the air quality objectives unless there significant redevelopment of the site comes forward that reduces air quality impacts.
	- / / / 0 ++ 0 0 0 0 0 0 / 0 + ++ ++ ++
Blyth Road, Clayton Road, Printinghouse Lane, Hayes	The plan makes allowances for mixed use development of these sites. The site is well served by transport links albeit the traffic is partly responsible for adding to the air quality impacts in the area. The site represents a good use of land and will aid the regeneration of Hayes in combination with the improved links from Crossrail. The site is within 250m of a landfill site, opposite the Grand Union Canal, in close proximity to the Greenbelt at Lake Farm, has a conservation area to the south and listed buildings immediately bordering the boundary. The area is already subject to planning permissions for mixed use development and any future intensification must consider the planning constraints carefully.
	- 0 0 0 0 ++ 0 0 0 0 0 ++ ++ ++ ++
Pump Lane, Hayes	The plan effectively safeguards this area for employment uses over the course of the plan period. The intention of the plan is to facilitate a regeneration of this area to improve the current building stock and diversify the range of businesses. This will be an improvement to the existing site. However, any intensification will result in additional air quality impacts. Access to the site could be improved particularly in terms of sustainable transport links. Any future development of this site must result in measures that

	Sus	stain	ability	Арр	oraisa	l Obje	ectiv	es									
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	enc	oura	ge sust	ainal	ole tra	inspor	tatio	n and	redu	ce the	e air q	uality	impa	icts.			
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	++
Bulls Bridge, North Hyde Gardens, Hayes	per cur to t imp trai dev	iod. rent l he ex bacts. hspor relopi	effect The int ouildin kisting Acces t links ment o tation	entions g stoo site. and t and t	on of t ck and Howe the sit the Gr s site r	the pla d diver ever, a e cou rand U must r	an is t rsify t ny in Id be Inion esult	o faci he ra tensif impro Canal in me	litate nge o icatio oved p coulo easure	a reg f busin n will partice d form es that	enera nesse resul ularly n a ma	tion o s. Thi t in ac in ter ajor pa	of this s will Iditio ms o [.] art of	area be ar nal ai f susta that.	to im i impr r qual ainabl Any t	prove ovem ity e	the ent
	-	/	/	/	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	++
Springfield Road, to the east of Minet Country Park, Hayes	per cur rate con the imp be but ens res	iod. rent l es. Th serva site i pact o consi plan ure t	effect The int ouildin ne Yea ation, t s in clo on area dered hey are measu	entic g stor ding l here ose pr of re a sen ecisio e inte	on of t ck and Brook are la roxim ecreat sitive sitive ons wi egrate	he pla diver runs f ndfills ity to l ion. T recep ll need d into	an is t rsify t throu s on s Mine 'he si tor. d to n futu	to faci he ra gh th ite th t Cou te is a The p nake p nake p	litate nge o e site at pos ntry P Iso in lan sh positiv ns. A	a reg f busin and is ark ar close close ould n ve allc ny fut	enera nesse s a sit sk to nd the proxi result owanc ure d	tion o s and e of in humal erefore imity t in a n ces for evelop	of this to im nport n and e has to a s nore the opmen	area prove ance natu the p chool efficie constr t of th	to im on va for na ral rec otent which mt us raints nis site	prove acance iture ceptor ial to n shou e of la and e mus	the y rs, ıld nd
	-	/	/	/	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	/	++	++	++
Uxbridge Industrial Estate	stai Col Uxk hav Gra em	nd no ne bo oridge re to l ind U	tegic li on-resid orderin e altho be able nion Ca es). In ged.	dentia g to t ugh s e to a anal f	al area the we trateg ccom	a. The est and gic link modat alterr	e Grai d the ks cou te ser native	nd Un Fray Ild be nsitive mea	ion Ca s Rive improve rece ns of s	anal r r to tł oved. ptors, sustai	uns th ne eas Any and v nable	nrough st. The future would trans	n the e site e prop bene porta	site, v is we bosals efit fro ation (vith tl ll con for th om ut for	ne Riv necte ne site ilising	er d to
Stonefield Way, South	- Thi:	0 s Stra	0 tegic li	0 ndust	0 trial Lo	++ ocatio	0 n con	0 Itains	0 a limi	0 ted n	0 umbe	/ r of se	0 ensiti	0 ve rec	++ eptor	++ s and	++ is

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives								
Site Allocation	Provision of Jobs Business Image Economic Growth Design & Amenity Skills & Education Traffic Reduction Accessibility Health, Noise, Safety, Crime High Quality Housing Climate Change Resources and Waste Efficient Land Use Flood Risk Soil & Water Landscape & Heritage Biodiversity								
Ruislip	well located in Ruislip. It contains a mix of business and industries and therefore presents a diverse employment provision. The inclusion of this SIL should ensure future investment in the area which is a positive for Ruislip.								
North Uxbridge Industrial Area	- / 0 0 0 0 0 0 / 0 0 ++ ++ ++ This Strategic Industrial Location contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located in Uxbridge. It will ensure that the population growth of Uxbridge and surrounding areas is supported by a strong and diverse employment provision. The Grand Union Canal runs through the centre of the site and needs to be sensitively incorporated into any future proposals. The Canal could be seen as an ideal opportunity for a								
Summerhouse Lane, Royal Quay, Harefield	sustainable form of transportation for employees. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1								
Odyssey Business Park	and consideration needs to be given to sustainable transportation. 0 0 0 0 0 0 + + + + + + + + + + + + + +								
Stockley Park	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 +++ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								
Bath Road, Hayes	- 0 0 0 ++ 0 0 0 0 0 0 ++ ++ There are limited environmental implications for the development of Bath Road as it is a heavily urbanised area. The major issue relates to air quality where levels are considered 0 0 ++ ++								

	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																						
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land	and Waste	Resources	Change	Climate	Housing	High Ouality	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Reduction	Education	Skills &	Amenity	Design &	Growth	Economic	Business	Provision of Jobs
	to be below minimum levels for health. Nonetheless, the proximity to Heathrow makes Bath Road a viable development corridor to support the ancillary requirements of Heathrow Airport. The plan should require any future development along this road to have no adverse impacts and aim for net gain in air quality improvements.																						
	-	0	0	0	0	++	(C	0)	0		0	0	/		0	(C	++		++	++
Packet Boat lane, Cowley	This is an existing mixed use site with retail and industrial provision. The site is well connected and provides a diverse provision of employment. It is bordered by the Grand Union Canal which could be encouraged for sustainable transport methods.														nd								
Braintree Road	-	0	0	0	0	++	(D	0)	0		0	0	/		0	(D	++		++	++
Industrial Area, South Ruislip	This site contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located in Ruislip. It contains a mix of business and industries and therefore presents a diverse employment provision. The inclusion of this site should ensure future investment in the area which is a positive for Ruislip.														t								
	-	0	0	0	0	++	(C	0)	0		0	0	/		0	(C	++		++	++
Covert Farm, Heathrow	This site contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located with good links. The main issue as with other development around Heathrow is the adverse air quality impact.														1								

Conclusions

- 1.1.14. In general, the policies and sites scored well against the sustainability objectives.The plan largely reflects other plans and programmes and also the baseline position set out in the main report and the objectives described in Part 1 of the Local Plan.
- 1.1.15. The appraisal has found that there are three policies that require changes to ensure they are consistent with other plans or programmes or the wider national planning framework. In addition, there are a series of advisory comments to strengthen the policies to reflect better against sustainability objectives.
- 1.1.16. The three recommendations relate to Policies:
- 1.1.17. **DMEI 7: Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement** The recommendation for this policy relates to the need to reflect the National Planning Policy Framework. The

policy should be strengthened to ensure that development contributes to a net increase in biodiversity value. The policy as written aims to protect only significant features and therefore does not score as strongly against biodiversity objectives as one that requires consideration of all biodiversity.

- 1.1.18. **DMEI 10: Management of Flood Risk** The recommendation reflects the national planning practice guidance which differentiates between flood zones 3b and 3a. The policy as written implies that development in 3b (functional floodplain) and 3a (area with high probability of flooding) will considered in the same way. Only certain types of essential infrastructure and water compatible development are appropriate in 3b and the policy should reflect this. In addition, the recommendation also makes specific reference to the need for the flood risk sequential test to be applied for development in critical drainage areas.
- 1.1.19. DMEI 12 and 13 Protection of Water Resources The recommendation reflects the requirements of the Water Framework Directive and the National Planning Policy Framework. It expands the policy so that there is a clearer framework to manage both water quality and quantity. This provides a natural progression from the water quality policies in Part 1 but also allows greater certainty that the sustainability objectives regarding protection of quality and quantity of water can be achieved.



2. Introduction

2.1. Purpose of this Document

2.1.1. This document forms the London Borough of Hillingdon's sustainability appraisal of Part 2 of the Local Plan which is made up of the Development Management Policies and Site Allocations.

2.2. What is Sustainability Appraisal?

2.2.1. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to pursue sustainable development through its local plans. It defines sustainable development as achieving three objectives:

an economic role – contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provision of infrastructure;

a social role – supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a high quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its health, social and cultural well-being; and

an environmental role – contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; and, as part of this, helping to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change including moving to a low carbon economy.

2.2.2. Importantly, the NPPF requires these three objectives to be considered jointly and not held in isolation or given preferential weighting:

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014



Therefore, to achieve sustainable development, economic, social and environmental gains should be sought jointly and simultaneously through the planning system. The planning system should play an active role in guiding development to sustainable solutions. (NPPF)

- 2.2.3. The NPPF also re-affirms the Government's commitment to a plan-led system. The adopted Local Plan and the published London Plan together form the 'development plan' for the London Borough of Hillingdon. Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 makes clear that determination of planning applications must be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The Local Plan is therefore the primary tool for making planning decisions and influencing development growth in the borough.
- 2.2.4. This sustainability appraisal is effectively an audit of the economic, social and environmental performance of Part 2 of the Local Plan to ensure it can deliver sustainable development. It sets out a series of objectives which act as measuring tools to determine the quality of the plan. These objectives cover a broad range of social, economic and environmental aspects. The appraisal will 'score' the plan and will result in recommendations for changes that can increase the performance of the plan.
- 2.2.5. The appraisal therefore helps determine the Local Plan's compliance with the NPPF and in doing so whether the Council can deliver sustainable growth.

2.3. Links to Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment Directive

- 2.3.1. All Local Plans must also be subject to strategic environmental assessment (SEA) as required by the SEA Directive. This form of assessment is largely focussed on environmental effects and therefore does not consider all three principles of sustainable development.
- 2.3.2. As a consequence, the sustainability appraisal process incorporates the requirements of the SEA Directive but goes on to consider wider economic and social issues as well. Appendix 1 demonstrates how SEA has been incorporated in the appraisal of the plan.

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014



3. Process and Methodology

3.1. Sustainability Appraisal Process

3.1.1. The sustainability appraisal has been undertaken in accordance with revised government guidance on sustainability appraisals from March 2014. The guidance sets out a 5 stage process:

Stage	Process Requirement
А	Setting the Context and Objectives, Establishing the Baseline and Deciding on the Scope
1	Identify other relevant policies, plans and programmes, and sustainability objectives
2	Collect baseline information
3	Identify sustainability issues and problems
4	Develop the sustainability appraisal framework
5	Consult the consultation bodies on the scope of the sustainability appraisal report
В	Developing and Refining Alternatives and Assessing Effects
1	Test the Local Plan objectives against the sustainability appraisal framework
2	Develop the Local Plan options including the reasonable alternatives
3	Evaluate the likely effects of the Local Plan and alternatives
4	Consider ways of mitigating adverse effects and maximising beneficial effects
5	Propose measures to monitor the significant effects of implementing the Local Plan
С	Stage C Prepare the Sustainability Appraisal report
D	Stage D Seek representations on the sustainability appraisal report from the consultation bodies and the public
E	Post Adoption Reporting and Monitoring
1	Prepare and publish post-adoption statement
2	Monitor significant effects of implementing the local plan
3	Respond to adverse effects

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014



3.2. Applying the Process to the Local Plan

- 3.2.1. The process outlined in the guidance has to be considered in the context of the development of the Council's Local Plan. The document being appraised for this report is Part 2 and represents the detailed framework for implementing the vision and objectives set out in Part 1. Part 1 has already been subjected to a lengthy sustainability appraisal process.
- 3.2.2. As a consequence, the application of the stages has to reflect the constraint put on Part 2 by the overarching Part 1 objectives. The assessment of Part 2 must consider the context and appraisal framework for Part 1 to ensure consistency. The following sets out the sustainability appraisal process for Part 1 and therefore how it flows into Part 2.

	Stage	Date
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report	November 2004
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability Appraisal of Preferred Options	October 2005
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability Appraisal of Revised Core Strategy Preferred Options	January 2007
	Sustainability Appraisal Review and Update of Baselin	e
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability of the Core Strategy Consultation Draft	June 2010
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability Appraisal Update	January 2011
	Sustainability Appraisal Review and Update of Baselin	e
Local Plan Part 1	Sustainability Appraisal Submission Document	July 2011
	Sustainability Appraisal Review and Update of Baselin	e
Local Plan Part 2	Regulation 18 Consultation	December 2012
Local Plan Part 2	Regulation 19 Consultation	This report



3.3. Reviewing the Sustainability Framework

- 3.3.1. The need to keep consistency with the Local Plan Part 1 has meant that the sustainability appraisal has become a 'living document'. Its scope and composition has been kept under review largely through formal consultations. The Council has therefore resisted developing an entirely new framework which has allowed for a more consistent and considered appraisal of the whole plan process.
- 3.3.2. The original framework was developed following lengthy consultation which set the broad framework agenda. The concept of sustainability has not altered fundamentally and the framework has remained largely as first envisaged. However, during the review process objectives relating to climate change have been added. The primary difference though is how the SA framework has been applied to each stage of the development of the plan. Whilst the framework has remained relatively unchanged, its application is informed by relevant plans and programmes which have been kept under review. This means the sustainability appraisal reflects the current principles and objectives for sustainable development.

3.4. Feedback on Sustainability Appraisal through Consultation

- 3.4.1. The decision to keep the appraisal framework under review has effectively been endorsed through the consultations on Local Plan Part 1 which have not raised fundamental concerns with either the process or application of the SA. These consultations effectively asked the questions regarding the scope and content of the SA as well as its application. No objections were received and the inspector found the Sustainability Appraisal and process to be sound for the Local Plan Part 1 in 2012.
- 3.4.2. As a consequence, the Council has undertaken a further review of the SA framework that was found sound by the Planning Inspector and that did not attract any objections. The whole process has been updated to ensure the framework is up to date and the appraisal of the Local Plan Part 2 is consistent with the aims and objectives of other plans and programmes but importantly Part 1 of the Local Plan.



4. Sustainability Appraisal Framework

4.1. Methodology

- 4.1.1. The appraisal methodology involves developing a scoring system that can help determine the performance of the Core Strategy. The standard approach is to use a matrix that includes rankings to determine the compatibility with the sustainability objectives; these range from highly positive through to highly negative.
- 4.1.2. The assessment of the Local Plan Part 1 objectives and strategic policies was based on the professional opinion of the officers at the London Borough of Hillingdon. The officer's assessment considered the baseline data, the key sustainability issues, and the evidence base of both the Local Plan and Sustainability Appraisal. This is primarily an objective based approach using opinion; however, an effects led approach (i.e. assessments based on likely measurable outcomes) has been used where appropriate.
- 4.1.3. The same approach has been adopted for Part 2 of the Local Plan. To ensure consistency the same framework is being used as well as the same scoring system. However, there is no need to review the objectives of Part 2 as these are a natural progression of Part 1. Only the policies and site allocations will be considered as part of this appraisal.

4.2. Sustainability Framework

4.2.1. The following framework was considered sound in the Local Plan Part 1 examination in public and is appropriate to use to ensure both parts of the Local plan subjected to the same objectives. The full framework used for Part 1 with targets and indicators is attached as appendix 2 of this report.

Sustainability Objectives		Reference in Appraisal
1	To improve air quality to a standard that is acceptable for human and ecological health	Air Quality



2	To ensure sustainable management and conservation of wildlife and habitats representative of the borough and reverse those in decline	Biodiversity
3	To protect and preserve landscape character, historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to the community	Landscape & Heritage
4	To avoid the adverse effects of activities and development on the natural functions of soil and water systems	Soil & Water
5	To reduce contributions towards, and vulnerability to, the effects of climate change	Climate Change
6	To minimise the hazard risk from flooding in Hillingdon	Flood Risk
7	To ensure efficient use of non renewable resources and minimise the production of waste	Resources and Waste
8	To encourage efficient use of available land that will not foreclose on future options	Efficient Land Use
9	To create a variety of high quality residential environments that provides everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent home	High Quality Housing
10	To provide environments that promote healthy and safe living and reduce anti social behaviour	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime
11	To improve the ready access to essential services and facilities for all residents	Accessibility
12	To promote methods to reduce dependence on private transport and manage the effects of traffic on the environment	Traffic reduction
13	To provide residents of all ages with the option to access education and skills based enhancement	Skills & Education
14	To encourage built environments of high quality urban design that assists in enhancing areas amenity value and promote community sense of place	Design & Amenity
15	To promote growth in the economy whilst improving its environmental and social performance	Economic Growth
16	To enhance the image of the borough as a location for new business	Business Image



	To encourage business to provide a range of jobs and services	
17	that will support and enhance existing residential and	
	employment areas	

Provision of Jobs

4.3. Appraisal Methodology

4.3.1. The purpose of the Sustainability Appraisal including the SEA Directive is to determine the likely significant effects of the Core Strategy. The determination of an effect is set out as:

Nature and Scale of Impact
X
Sensitivity of Receptor
=
Significance of Effect

Nature and Scale of Impact

- 4.3.2. Environmental assessment uses the following criteria to define the extent and magnitude of an impact:
 - Effect duration (whether short, medium or long term)
 - Effect nature (whether direct or indirect, reversible or irreversible)
 - Whether the impact occurs in isolation, is cumulative or interactive
 - Performance against environmental quality standards or other relevant pollution control thresholds
 - Compatibility with environmental policies

Sensitivity of Receptor

4.3.3. For the purposes of this report, the receptor is the Sustainability Appraisal objective.Not all the objectives carry the same level of weight; some objectives are more important or cover a more pressing issue for the Borough.



Significance of Effect

4.3.4. The significance of the effect is ranked using the following criteria:

Symbol	Like Effect on the SA Objective
+ +	A likely highly positive effect
+	A likely positive effect
0	No significant effect or clear link
-	A likely negative effect
	A likely highly negative effect
?	Uncertain or insufficient information to determine effect
/	Potential positive or negative effect depending on implementation

4.4. Advisory Comments and Recommendations

- 4.4.1. The outcome of the appraisal process is a series of Advisory Comments and Recommendations that will identify areas for 'sustainability' improvement. These take the form of measures to prevent, reduce and offset the environmental and sustainability impacts associated with implementation. These also constitute mitigation measures as required under the SEA Directive.
- 4.4.2. The appraisal is a strategic assessment of the plan. It is largely a desk top exercise informed by a series of other plans and programmes, some of which set requirements for local plans and others make recommendations. The appraisal of this plan will consider these and suggestions will be made in two forms, Recommendations and Advisory comments.



Recommendations

4.4.3. Recommendations should be treated as required changes, and the recommendation will relate to the wording of the change. However, the recommendation will relate to a policy that has not considered the requirements from other plans and programmes. The authority may not agree with the recommended wording change, or may adopt another approach, however, the principle behind the recommendation cannot be ignored and the plan should be amended to reflect this.

Advisory Comments

- 4.4.4. Advisory comments have been made where there is scope for improving a policy against the sustainability objectives, but a direct conflict with other plans and programmes does not exist. It could be that there is sufficient 'control' embedded within other plans and programmes to ensure future development does not undermine sustainability objectives. In this instance, it might be useful for the Local Plan policy to be all encompassing but not necessary.
- 4.4.5. The main aim is to ensure the development planning framework allows for the sustainability objectives to be achieved. This may mean a 'topic area' is covered by other parts of the planning framework, either through the NPPF, London Plan or Part 1 for example, but is not covered by Part 2.
- 4.4.6. This report will be distributed alongside the Local Plan Part 2 for consultation. This provides an opportunity to comment on the recommendations and advisory comments and for interested parties to suggest others. A final sustainability statement will be produced that will set out where the plan has been improved to consider the recommendations of this appraisal.

4.5. Difficulties Encountered

4.5.1. The main difficulty in appraising the Plan is the uncertainty in identifying the future impacts. Part 1 sets a broad spatial vision for the Borough and Part 2 provides more details as to how this can be achieved. Nonetheless, Part 2 is still a policy document covering a vast array of planning matters. It can be aspirational and set a clear framework but these cannot always be easily quantified. This has been countered by



linking the appraisal to the baseline information of the borough and the objectives of other plans and programmes. This allows for a more evidence based assessment of the Plan.

- 4.5.2. Invariably, sustainability appraisals rely on the understanding of the implementation of a policy. It is virtually impossible to have an entirely fact based appraisal as there is simply no way of accurately forecasting when development will come forward or in what context.
- 4.5.3. The consultation provides an opportunity for stakeholders to engage with the sustainability appraisal and challenge officer opinion. Furthermore, through the monitoring or both the plan and the sustainability appraisal objectives, the Council will be able to study and respond to future scenarios that were not envisaged at the plan making stage.

4.6. Monitoring

4.6.1. The SEA Directive requires the sustainability appraisal to include the methods for monitoring sustainability objectives. The annual monitoring review for the Local Plan will be the primary method for monitoring performance as well as the framework set out in appendix 3.



5. Other Plans and Programmes

5.1. Background

- 5.1.1. A key part to sustainability appraisal as set out in the regulations and in the SEA Directive is to ensure that the appraised plan reflects the aims and objectives of other plans and programmes.
- 5.1.2. The framework has been designed around other plans and programmes but its application is generally a matter of interpretation. The objectivity of any appraisal can therefore be questioned if there are no guiding principles. To counter this, the appraisal must be able to consider the most relevant plans and programmes which allows both the author and consultees to understand the basis for the appraisal. Other plans and programmes are therefore essential for providing a fair and objective assessment guideline.

5.2. Plans and Programmes Update

5.2.1. A review of the most relevant plans and programmes has been undertaken following the previous sustainability appraisal consultation (as part of the Local Plan Part 1 submission version consultation). A full list of the most relevant plans and programmes that have influenced the appraisal is included within appendix 4.

5.3. Relationship with other Relevant Plans

5.3.1. The Local Plan Part 2 is effectively the culmination of a series of policy documents that set a framework for development. The development of Part 2 is guided by the preceding documents and its objectives are therefore largely constrained. It provides the detailed policies of how to implement the planning framework, accordingly this appraisal will focus on this relationship with the preceding plans and how it performs in meeting the strategic objectives.





- 5.3.2. SEA requires that the relationship between the relevant plan and other plans and programmes is properly considered and presented. The sustainability appraisal framework incorporates the aims and objectives of other plans and programmes. As a consequence, the relationship of the Local Plan Part 2 to other plans and programmes is therefore 'tested' through the application of the appraisal.
- 5.3.3. Furthermore, it is necessary to establish the link between the sustainability framework and Part 1. This demonstrates how the appraisal framework will ensure compliance between the strategic objectives of Part 1 and the detailed Policies and sites of Part 2.

	Sustainability Objective	Link to Local Plan Part 1 Policy
1	To improve air quality to a standard that is acceptable for human and ecological health	E1, E2, E3, BE1, EM1, EM8, T1, T2, T3
2	To ensure sustainable management and conservation of wildlife and habitats representative of the borough and reverse those in decline	EM1, BE1, EM7, EM8



3	To protect and preserve landscape character, historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to the community	BE1, EM1, HE1
4	To avoid the adverse effects of activities and development on the natural functions of soil and water systems	BE1, EM1, EM2, EM3, EM7, EM7
5	To reduce contributions towards, and vulnerability to, the effects of climate change	BE1, EM1
6	To minimise the hazard risk from flooding in Hillingdon	EM6
7	To ensure efficient use of non renewable resources and minimise the production of waste	EM1, EM11, West London Waste Plan
8	To encourage efficient use of available land that will not foreclose on future options	E2, EM1, EM2
9	To create a variety of high quality residential environments that provides everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent home	BE1
10	To provide environments that promote healthy and safe living and reduce anti social behaviour	BE1, EM1, CL2, CL3
11	To improve the ready access to essential services and facilities for all residents	CL1, CL2,
12	To promote methods to reduce dependence on private transport and manage the effects of traffic on the environment	E1, E2, EM1, T1, T2, T3
13	To provide residents of all ages with the option to access education and skills based enhancement	CL3
14	To encourage built environments of high quality urban design that assists in enhancing areas amenity value and promote community sense of place	BE1, CL2, E7
15	To promote growth in the economy whilst improving its environmental and social performance	E1, BE1, E2, E7
16	To enhance the image of the borough as a location for new business	E1, E2, E3, E6, E7
17	To encourage business to provide a range of jobs and services that will support and enhance existing residential and employment areas	E6, E7



6. Background to the Borough

6.1. Establishing the Baseline

- 6.1.1. SEA requires that the environmental report includes an outline of the environmental baseline. Establishing the baseline is important to ensure that the sustainability appraisal of the Local Plan Part 2 addresses the specific problems within the borough. It helps to ensure the subsequent appraisal is objective and area specific. It is also useful to establish the social and economic baseline position to provide completeness for the appraisal, although this is not specifically required by the SEA Directive.
- 6.1.2. Local Plan Part 1 was developed on the back of a detailed assessment of the needs of the borough. It was supported by a significant evidence base that helped shape the policies and vision. The following is therefore an update of the baseline based on problems identified in Part 1 and its related Sustainability Appraisal.

6.2. 2011 Census Data Overview

6.2.1. The primary source of information on the socio economic problems facing the borough can be extrapolated from the 2011 Census data. Factsheets for England, London and Hillingdon are contained in appendix 5. The following socio-economic summary was provided in the Employment Land Study Review 2014:

The population of LB Hillingdon is expected to increase over the planning period. Forecasts by the GLA show that Hillingdon's population is expected to be 9.4% higher in 2026 than in 2011. This will create pressure for new housing and jobs in the borough. In terms of other socio-economic indicators Hillingdon is fairly average in the London context as it has average levels of skills and qualifications and slightly lower average wages. Generally the south of the borough is more deprived and the north is generally more affluent. There is accordingly a need to provide local employment opportunities.



6.2.2. A broad summary and comparison of the 2011 Census results is contained in the table below.

Population	Since the 2001 Census, the borough's population has increased by approx 12.7% (from 243,006 to 273,936); in comparison London's population has increased by 13.97% and England by 7.75%. Hillingdon is a relatively dense borough with an average of 23.7 people per hectare; this places Hillingdon in the top 25% of boroughs in England with regards to population density.
Gender	135,811 of borough residents are male and 138,125 are female.
Age	20.8% of the borough population is under 16, 6.2% over 75 and 73% aged 16-74 (this is defined in the 2011 Census as working age). The 16-24 age group has increased by 32% since 2001.
Ethnicity	Hillingdon's Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) community has increased from 27% in 2001 to 47.8% in 2011, this is lower than across London (55%) and considerably higher than across England (20%). In absolute figures the BAME population in Hillingdon has increased by almost 100%, 66,762 to 131,020.
Religion	The predominant religions in the borough are Christian – 134,826 (49.2%), Muslim – 29,090 (10.6%), Hindu – 22,025 (8%) and Sikh – 18,232 (6.6%), we also have a large percentage of residents stating 'no religion' – 46,467 (16.9%).
Economic activity	Based on statistics for the 16-74 age group there has been very little movement in the % of the population in full time employment, from 80,180 in 2001 to 80,835 in 2011, a difference of 0.8% (655).

6.3. Skills deficiency

6.3.1. Hillingdon attracts a wide range of business from small units to multinationals.Heathrow airport and its supporting services provide a wide range of jobs, and the high quality business park at Stockley Park attracts large scale global companies.



- 6.3.2. The 2011 census shows that Hillingdon has a lower percentage of qualified residents (28%) than the London average (37.7%). Employment related to manufacturing was slightly higher in Hillingdon (5.3%) than London (3.2%). Socio-economic groups also showed a lower percentage in the managerial positions with 10% described as 'higher' and 20% as 'lower' compared to 13.2% and 23.1% for the London average.
- 6.3.3. The presence of Heathrow in the south provides an extensive source of employment but these are generally lower skilled jobs. The lower rates of qualifications and high grade employment has been identified as a challenge for the Local Plan to tackle.

6.4. Traffic congestion

- 6.4.1. Much of Hillingdon is included in the Air Quality Management Area. Road traffic and in particular congestion issues are a major contributor to these. Although Hillingdon has good public transport provision the favoured mode for work and school remains private transport. The lack of north south orbital routes is a major factor in limiting public transport use.
- 6.4.2. The 2011 census data shows that car ownership is higher in Hillingdon than across London and commuting to work via road is considerably higher that the London average (37.2% to 20.5%).
- 6.4.3. Car parking ratios (parking spaces to bedrooms) is generally higher in the borough than across London despite there being a significant problem with air quality. This is identified as a challenge for the Local Plan.

6.5. Provision of new housing

6.5.1. To comply with the London Plan Hillingdon must provide more than 6000 new homes for the 15 year plan period. The current ratio of housing in the borough is dominated by 'whole houses or bungalows' (73.7%) compared with the London average (48.3%). The need to find additional housing and support a growing population puts pressure on the extensive Green Belt and semi rural areas in the north, and facilities and infrastructure, which are already stretched, in the south.



6.6. Culture and heritage

6.6.1. The borough has taken particular interest in the protection of its Heritage landscape, recently increasing the number of designated Areas of Special Local Character. However a proportion (10.7%) of Grade I and II* listed buildings have been identified as being at risk.

6.7. Poor air quality

- 6.7.1. The annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide, set at 40ug/m3 has not been met in large areas of the Borough, especially the in the south around Heathrow (for NO2) and along the major roads. Recent forecasts from the EU show that compliance is with the minimum limit will not happen over the plan period. As a result an Air Quality Management Area has been designated for a significant part of the borough.
- 6.7.2. Car ownership and use is high in comparison with other London boroughs and is likely to increase with the number of new jobs and homes to be provided as a requirement of the London Plan.
- 6.7.3. Ensuring air quality is not worsened by new development is particular difficult if it encourages more traffic. However, even if every new development were to demonstrate air quality neutrality (i.e. no worsening of air quality) then there would be no advance on the current situation which is considered to be extremely poor in many areas.
- 6.7.4. The challenge for the Local Plan is to deliver new development that does not add to the problems whilst providing solutions to the current adverse impacts.

6.8. Maintenance of the quality of sites designated for their biodiversity value

6.8.1. The Borough contains many designated sites of interest to nature conservation (SINCS) that require conservation. In general the quality of these has been identified as good. The promotion of biodiversity in non-designated sites (such as parks, gardens and cemeteries) is included within the Hillingdon Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) and the value of these sites should be considered alongside those of SINCS. Furthermore the Borough contains several other designated sites including a



National Nature Reserve and sites of Metropolitan and Borough importance for nature conservation.

6.9. Water Resources

- 6.9.1. The borough has a number of rivers, watercourses and aquifers that have been identified as increasing flood risk. Flood risk and flood events are likely to increase with the effects of climate change.
- 6.9.2. The 2010 Flood and Water Management Act identified the Council as a Lead Local Flood Authority. It now has responsibility for managing surface water flooding. However, ensuring there is a partnership approach to managing surface water is difficult with many disparate groups having some role to play.
- 6.9.3. The Plan therefore has to establish ground rules to managing surface water flooding and then the annual monitoring has to measure the implementation of these.
- 6.9.4. Whilst flood risk and flood events are an increasing threat, ironically so are water shortages and droughts. The borough is identified as being in a severely water stressed area. This means consumption outweighs natural recharging of water resources. Managing too much and too little water will be a principle factor for new development. In terms of new development this means developers are likely to have to go beyond minimum building regulation requirements.

6.10. Threats posed by Climate Change

- 6.10.1. Climate change is a factor that in general is beyond the control of Hillingdon and outside of the controls of the Core Strategy. However, the effects of climate change are an influence on planning. Rising temperatures, building subsidence, flooding and increased precipitation will affect buildings, people and the environment of the borough.
- 6.10.2. Hillingdon can contribute to reducing its impacts on climate change by seeking reductions in emissions as a result of fewer vehicle movements and from buildings by increasing energy efficiency.



6.11. Energy Efficiency of Existing Development

- 6.11.1. New development will be subjected to improved building regulations which have energy efficiency embedded within. However, the vast majority of the buildings to be used over the plan period already exist and have done for some time. This older stock is likely to have poor energy efficiency credentials and contributes significantly to the total carbon emissions from built development.
- 6.11.2. Generally, forward plans consider only the quality of new development with limited scope for improving existing stock. In terms of energy though, even if all new development were zero carbon and highly energy efficiency, the borough would still be unable to reduce its current carbon footprint associated with energy.
- 6.11.3. The challenge is for the development planning framework to find a method for ensuring new development does not increase the problems whilst seeking methods to upgrade and improve efficiencies in existing buildings.

6.12. Access to Open Space

6.12.1. The southern part of the borough has been identified as having a poor open space offering. Access to recreational space is at a premium and it is envisaged that this will come under increasing pressure as a growing population is to be accommodated. The challenge is therefore to increase the open space offering whilst preserving the viability of new development.

6.13. Healthy Living

6.13.1. The London Borough of Hillingdon has some of the highest adult obesity rates in England. The Local Plan therefore has to set a planning framework that can facilitate a healthier lifestyle.

6.14. Noise

6.14.1. Noise on many of the boroughs roads exceeds 80dB. Large areas of housing experience noise levels over 70dB. Aircraft noise associated with Heathrow airport



has been identified as a significant historic problem. However, further studies now reveal that noise disturbance goes beyond simply impacting on amenity and the quality of life. Research has now revealed that noise disturbance can poses a significant threat to health. This increases the importance of managing and mitigating noise.

6.15. Challenges

6.15.1. The baseline environmental, economic and social position was considered in Part 1 of the Local Plan. As result the following challenges were identified in the sustainability appraisal. In turn, these challenges must be considered in the appraisal of Part 2.

Economy

London Plan requirement to accommodate a proportion of 9-11,000 new jobs in Heathrow Opportunity Area

London Plan requirement to adopt a 'Limited Approach' to the transfer of industrial sites to other uses

London Plan requirement to deliver a proportion of new hotel growth

Resident working age population has below average qualifications and a low number of adult learners

Pockets of deprivation within the borough

Low level of business start ups and small affordable business units

Continued pressure for expansion at Heathrow Airport with economic benefits at a national level but significant adverse local impacts

Pressure on employment land for other uses

Threat to market position of Uxbridge through increased competition from other major retail centres

Threats to the viability and vitality of town and neighbourhood centres



Housing

Overall housing needs and affordable housing in the borough

Insufficient appropriate forms of affordable tenure, particularly intermediate housing

A proposed significant increase in Hillingdon's London Plan annual housing monitoring target

The need to agree a borough affordable housing target

The requirement in the London Plan for an increased number of gypsy and traveller pitches

Retention of indicative housing density targets Delivery of energy efficient housing

Community Facilities

Need to provide sufficient community infrastructure to underpin growth, as defined in the Strategic Infrastructure Plan (SIP)

Opportunity to improve access to community infrastructure, particularly for residents in areas of identified need.

Environmental Management

The need to improve the quality of and access to open spaces, rivers and canals for all groups of people in the community

The need to improve the quality of and access to open spaces, rivers and canals for all groups of people in the community

Pressure to balance the demands of growth and the impacts of flood risk with minimal harm on the environment

Pressure for release of green belt land, Metropolitan Open Land and Green Chains for other uses

The need to mitigate noise and air quality impacts, especially around Heathrow and the major road network

National and EU requirements to meet climate change and carbon dioxide emission targets

Threats to the biological and geological interests of the borough from development pressures and climate change



The need to meet the London Plan mineral apportionment figures

The need to minimise waste

Housing and Built Environment

The need to maintain the character, identity, suburban qualities and historic fabric of the Borough's places and buildings

Pressure for high density residential developments

Loss of local characteristics through unsympathetic design

Balancing new sustainability requirements to combat climate change whilst protecting the existing character of places, in particular within conservation areas

Demand for large scale commercial/ mixed use developments particularly in the southern part of the Borough on the fringe of Green Belt and/ or Conservation Areas.

Transportation

Poor north/south public transport links

Slow and deficient public transport access between Uxbridge and central London and other key centres

Delivery of planned public transport improvements at Heathrow, Hayes, West Drayton, Uxbridge and West Ruislip.

Congestion causing traffic delays, particularly in the 30 identified congestion hotspots

Pressures on the road and public transport network from the high volume of vehicles associated with Heathrow Airport

Poor and unsustainable access to and from local destinations, including shopping centres, employment and leisure concentrations/areas

High dependency on private vehicles and low proportion of trips made by cycling, walking and public transport.



6.16. Objectives for the Plan

6.16.1. The objectives for the Local Plan were set out in and established through consultation for Part 1 and are replicated below. These objectives are aimed at addressing the challenges outlined above. Part 2 of the Local Plan contains the detailed policies and sites that provide a clearer framework as to how these objectives. In turn, it is essential that the sustainability appraisal has consideration of these objectives when assessing the detailed policies and sites.

Hillingdon is taking full advantage of its distinctive strengths with regard to its places, communities and heritage

SO1	Conserve and enhance the borough's heritage and their settings by ensuring new development, including changes to the public realm, are of high quality design, appropriate to the significance of the heritage asset, and seek to maintain and enhance the contribution of built, landscaped and buried heritage to London's environmental quality, cultural identity and economy as part of managing London's ability to accommodate change and regeneration.	
SO2	Create neighbourhoods that are of a high quality sustainable design, that have regard for their historic context and use sustainability principles which are sensitive and responsive to the significance of the historic environment, are distinctive, safe, functional and accessible and which reinforce the identity and suburban qualities of the borough's streets and public places, introduce public art to celebrate civic pride and serve the long-term needs of all residents.	
SO3	Improve the quality of, and accessibility to, the heritage value of the borough's open spaces, including rivers and canals as areas for sports, recreation, visual interest, biodiversity, education, health and well being. In addition, address open space needs by providing new spaces identified in Hillingdon's Open Space Strategy.	
SO4	Ensure that development contributes to a reduction in crime and disorder, is resilient to terrorism, and delivers safe and secure buildings, spaces and inclusive communities.	
SO5	Safeguard and promote areas of geological importance and make a proportionate contribution to West London's target to extract 0.5 million tonnes of minerals.	
The so	The social and economic inequality gaps in Hillingdon are being closed	
SO6	Promote social inclusion through equality of opportunity and equality of access to	



	social, educational, health, employment, recreational, green space and cultural facilities for all in the borough, particularly for residents living in areas of identified need.
S07	Address housing needs in Hillingdon using appropriate planning measures.
-	ved environment and infrastructure is supporting healthier living and helping the gh to mitigate and adapt to climate change:
SO8	Protect and enhance biodiversity to support the necessary changes to adapt to climate change. Where possible, encourage the development of wildlife corridors.
SO9	Promote healthier and more active lifestyles through the provision of access to a range of sport, recreation, health and leisure facilities.
SO10	Improve and protect air and water quality, reduce adverse impacts from noise including the safeguarding of quiet areas and reduce the impacts of contaminated land.
SO11	Address the impacts of climate change, and minimise emissions of carbon and local air quality pollutants from new development and transport.
SO12	Reduce the reliance on the use of the car by promoting safe and sustainable forms of transport, such as improved walking and cycling routes and encouraging travel plans.
SO13	Support the objectives of sustainable waste management.
	mic growth has been concentrated in Uxbridge, and the Heathrow and /West Drayton Corridor without ignoring local centres
SO14	Provide 9,000 new jobs and accommodate most economic growth in Uxbridge and the Heathrow Opportunity Area.
SO15	Protect land for employment uses to meet the needs of different sectors of the economy. Manage the release of surplus employment land for other uses.
SO16	Manage appropriate growth, viability and regeneration of town and neighbourhood centres.
Impro of res	ved accessibility to local jobs, housing and facilities is improving the quality of life dents
SO17	Link deprived areas with employment benefits arising from the development of major sites and existing key locations.
SO18	Improve access to local services and facilities, including health, education,



	employment and training, local shopping, community, cultural, sport and leisure facilities, especially for those without a car and for those in more remote parts of the borough through well planned routes and integrated public transport.		
SO19	Meet the current London Plan target to provide 425 new homes per annum.		
SO20	Improve facilities at bus and underground/ rail interchanges to promote sustainable growth in Uxbridge, Heathrow, the Hayes/ West Drayton Corridor and accessibility to other town centres.		
-	Hillingdon has a reliable network of north/south public transport routes and improved public transport interchanges		
SO21	Improve public transport services between the north and the south of the borough to ensure easier access between residential areas such as Northwood and South Ruislip, Hillingdon Hospital, Brunel University, Stockley Park and Heathrow Airport.		
SO22	Promote efficient use of public transport and in particular the enhancement of Underground services to Uxbridge and faster services to central London.		
Hilling	Hillingdon has prospered from the presence of Heathrow		
SO23	Develop and implement a strategy for the Heathrow Opportunity Area, in order to ensure that local people benefit from economic and employment growth and social and environmental improvements including reductions in noise and poor air quality.		
SO24	Optimise the potential employment and educational benefits of Heathrow airport for local residents.		
SO25	Maintain support for operational uses within the existing airport boundary that do not increase environmental impacts and continue to reduce existing impacts.		



7. The Appraisal of Policies

7.1. Development Management Policies

7.1.1. The following table lists the development management policies subjected to sustainability appraisal in accordance with the methodology outlined in chapter 3.

	Economy
DME 1	Employment Uses in Designated Sites
DME 2	Employment Uses Outside of Designated Sites
DME 3	Office Development
DME 4	Visitor Attractions
DME 5	Hotels and Visitor Accommodation
DME 6	Accessible Hotels and Visitor Accommodation
	Town Centres
DMTC 1	Town Centre Development
DMTC 2	Primary and Secondary Shopping Areas
DMTC 3	Maintaining the Viability of Local Centres and Local Parades
DMTC 4	Location and Concentration of Town Centre Uses
DMTC 5	Shopfronts
	New Homes
DMH 1	Safeguarding Existing Housing
DMH 2	Housing Mix
DMH 3	Office Conversions
DMH 4	Residential Conversions
DMH 5	Houses in Multiple Occupation
DMH 6	Garden and Backland Development
DMH 7	Provision of Affordable Housing
DMH 8	Sheltered Housing and Care Homes



	Historic and Built Environment
DMHB 1	Heritage Assets
DMHB 2	Scheduled Ancient Monuments
DMHB 3	Archaeological Priority Areas
DMHB 4	Listed Buildings
DMHB 5	Locally Listed Buildings
DMHB 6	Conservations Areas
DMHB 7	Areas of Special Local Character
DMHB 8	Gatehill Farm Estate and Copse Wood Estate Areas of Special Local Character
DMHB 9	Registered Historic Parks, Gardens and Landscapes
DMHB 10	War Memorials
DMHB 11	Heritage at Risk
DMHB 12	High Buildings and Structures
DMHB 13	Design of Development
DMHB 14	Streets and Public Realm
DMHB 15	Planning for Safer Places
DMHB 16	Living Walls and Roofs
DMHB 17	Residential Amenity
DMHB 18	Housing Standards
DMHB 19	Private Outdoor Amenity Space
DMHB 20	Residential Density
DMHB 21	Play Space
DMHB 22	Alteration and Extensions to Residential Dwellings
DMHB 23	Outbuildings
DMHB 24	Basement Development
DMHB 25	Moorings
DMHB 26	Telecommunications
	Environmental Improvements
DMEI 1	Sustainable Design Standards



DMEI 2	Reducing Carbon Emissions
DMEI 3	Decentralised Energy
DMEI 4	Development in the Green Belt or on Metropolitan Open Land
DMEI 5	Development in Green Chains
DMEI 6	Development in Green Edge Locations
DMEI 7	Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement
DMEI 8	Waterside Development
DMEI 9	Farm Diversification
DMEI 10	Management of Flood Risk
DMEI 11	Water Management
DMEI 12	Water Quality
DMEI 13	Protection of Ground Water Resources
DMEI 14	Water Efficiency
DMEI 15	Water Efficiency in Homes
DMEI 16	Water Efficiency in Non-Residential Developments
DMEI 17	Development of Land Affected by Contamination
DMEI 18	Air Quality
MIN 1	Safeguarded Areas for Minerals
MIN 2	Additional Safeguarded Sites for Minerals
MIN 3	Prior Extraction
MIN 4	Minerals Capacity
MIN 5	Use of Farmland
MIN 6	Water Areas
MIN 7	Ready Mixed Concrete Plants
MIN 8	Landfilling
MIN 9	Effects on the Hydrogeological Regime
MIN 10	Noise Impacts
	Community Infrastructure
DMCI 1	Retention of Existing Community, Sport and Education Facilities



DMCI 2	New Community Infrastructure
DMCI 3	Public Open Space Provision
DMCI 4	Open Spaces in New Development
DMCI 5	Children's Play Areas
DMCI 6	Indoor Sports and Leisure Facilities
DMCI 7	Promoting Participation
DMCI 8	Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy
	Transport and Aviation
DMT 1	Managing Transport Impacts
DMT 2	Highways Impacts
DMT 3	Public Transport
DMT4	Pedestrians and Cyclists
DMT 5	Vehicle Parking
DMT 6	Freight
DMAV 1	Safe Operation of Airports
DMAV 2	Heathrow Airport
DMAV 3	RAF Northolt



	Susta	inability	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DME1	+	/	+	/	/	++	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	/	++	++	++
Employment Uses in Designated Sites	The policy provides more clarity on the approach to employment land set out in Part 1. The location of the sites in existing industrial and well connected areas promotes growth in suitable locations. The policy provides clarity about the location of employment uses and importantly includes requirements (part e of policy) for proposals in close proximity. This should help locate suitable neighbouring development and avoid the risk of incompatible developments.																
DME2	0	/	+	/	/	++	0	0	0	+	+	/	0	+	++	+	++
Employment Uses Outside of Designated Sites	reside provis	ntial but ion of jo	t this pol bs. The	licy insu caveat i	res that n the po	employn llicy that	nent lan industri	d is give al devel	n every o opment	Develop opportur that has new dev	nity to s historic	ucceed. negative	This is g e impact	ood for s will be	econom e accepta	ic stabili	
DME3	+	0	+	0	+	++	0	+	0	+	0	+	++	+	++	++	++
Office Development	less ac most s develo	ccessible suited w	areas. ith appro unless it	The focu opriate s can be	is on Sto servicing proven t	ckley Pa . The po here is n	rk, Uxbr olicy also o marke	idge and ensures t demar	d Heathr s other t nd. This	eas. Thi ow ensu ypes of c provides ply be re	res that office sp a stron	office de ace will	evelopm not be lo	ent will ost to ot	be locat her form	ed in are	eas



	Susta	inability	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	i -											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DME4	-	+	+	0	/	/	0	0	0	0	0	-	/	+	+	+	+
Visitor Attractions	is part the su Advise	icularly i pporting pry Com	importai g text of	nt given Part 2 b The pc	that Par ut not in blicy cou	t 1 inclu the pol Id be ex	des requ icy. panded	iiremen ^t to consi	ts to enc	atres, and courage v ouraging	isitors t	o the Co	Ine Valle	ey Park.	This is r	eference	ed in
DME 5		0	0	0	0	+	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	+	++	++	++
Hotels and Visitor Accommodation	ackno increa	wledged sing the Dry Com	that thi amount	s policy of visito	is unlike ors partio	ly to res cular arc	ult in im ound Hea	provem athrow.	ents to a	nts for go air quality articularl	y and m	ay have	detrime	ntal imp	acts by v	/irtue of	
DME 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Sustai	nabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Accessible Hotels and visitor Accommodation	This po	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	r the inc	lusion o	f accessi	bility wi	thin hote	els and v	visitor ac	commoc	lation.			
DMTC 1	-	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	++	+
Town Centre Development	dispara	ate, poo	rly conn	ected ar	nd sprav	ling cen	tres. Ho	owever,	it must k	be recog	nised th	nd theref at much nt in thes	of the to			-	poor
DMTC 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	++	+	+
Primary and Secondary Shopping Areas	econo Takeav restric	mic flexi way Too ting hot	ibility. H lkit. The	lowever e Plan ne itlets in d	, it is not eeds to c close pro	t clear ho consider	ow the p the grow	olicy co ving pro	nsiders r blem of	ecent in	npact stu The GL	nd divers udies on A study i ts.	hot food	doutlets	s such as	the GLA	's
DMTC 3	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	++	+



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Maintaining the Viability of Local Centres and Local Parades	This policy should ensure that Local Centres are still the focus for local centre uses and therefore protects areas from having disparate, poorly connected and sprawling centres. However, as set out above (DMTC2), it is recommended that the GLA's Takeway Toolkit has been considered in relation to A5 hot food outlets particularly near schools. See Advisory Comment 3 for DMTC4															eway	
DMTC 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0
Location and Concentration of Town Centre Uses	GLA's Advis o	Takeawa ory Com	ay Toolk ment 3:	it and th This po	e poten licy cou	tial for e Id be ex	d health xplicit re panded t restricte	estrictior to consi	ns near t der the i	o school r esearch	ls in part contair	ticular. 1ed in th	e GLA's	Takeaw	ay Toolk	kit. The l	Policy
DMTC 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	+	++	0
Shopfronts		•	ably cor he boro		op front	s and is	likely to	result in	improve	ed desigi	ns and ii	n turn m	aintain a	nd enha	ance the	busines	5
DMH 1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	/	-	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inability	/ Appra	isal Obj	ectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Safeguarding Existing Housing		•								n is inher sport or	•		•		uality. T	he cavea	ıts
		-		-	-	-	anded t	o includ	e lower	parking	ratios f	or subdiv	vision in	areas w	ell serv	ed by pu	blic
DMH 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0
Housing Mix	transport to reduce impacts on air quality. 0 <th></th>																
DMH 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Office Conversions	ameni otherv a requ Adviso	ty space wise ben irement bry Com	in office eficial. I when the ment 5 (e conver For exam ne office (as abov	sions to pple, offi was firs e): The	the leve ce redev t constr policy c e	l set out velopme ucted. N ould be	elsewho ents are Meeting expande	ere in th unlikely amenity ed to set	s support e plan m to have l space st out alte ough the	aybe re balconie andard rnative	stricted es or ope s could t approac	whilst th n space herefore : hes for a	e propo as these be very amenity	sals on l would restrict	balance a not have ed onsite	are been e.
DMH 4	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inability	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Residential Conversions	Amenity space is referred to in the policy but is not linked to policy DMHB19 which refers to table 2 of the Plan which sets specific amenity space standards. Both policies refer to residential conversions but have slightly different criteria. Advisory Comment 5 (as above): The policy could be expanded to set out alternative approaches for amenity space provision for office redevelopments if it cannot be secured onsite, for example through the encouraging offsite provisions.																
DMH 5	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Houses in Multiple Occupation	retaini above Adviso	ing the e is also n	existing a nade for ment 5 (this pol	space pi icy. e): The	rovision policy c	will not ould be	be able t expande	to meet ed to set	the stan the stan out alte provision	dards in rnative	Table 2.	The Ac	dvisory (Commen	t set out	
DMH 6	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Garden and Back land Development	in wild Commo	0 + + 0														iry	



	Sustai	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	net gain for biodiversity from new development. The following bullet point is recommended: 'Proposals demonstrate a net gain in biodiversity value' (see Recommendation 1 which relates to a broader biodiversity policy)															ain in	
DMH 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
Provision of Affordable Housing	This po	olicy pro	omotes a	nd provi	ides for (equality	in housi	ng provi	sion wit	hin the b	orough.						
DMH 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
Sheltered Housing and Care Homes	This po	olicy pro	ovides fo	r shelter	ed hous	ing in ar	approp	riate ma	anner.								
DMHB 1	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heritage Assets			otects the d achievi	-	-		-	•				to cons	ider the	balance	betwee	n herita	ge
DMHB 2	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



	Sustai	inability	y Appra	isal Obj	ectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Scheduled Ancient Monuments	This po	olicy pro	otects scł	neduled	ancient	monum	ents in t	he boroı	ugh.								
DMHB 3	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Archaeological Priority Areas	This po	olicy pro	etects the	e archae	ology of	the bor	ough.										
DMHB 4	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Listed Buildings	lt is as	sumed	that the	caveat i	n DMHB	1 (Part I	B) which	applies	to herit	age asse	ets inher	ently in	cludes L	isted Bu	ildings.		
DMHB 5	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Locally Listed Buildings	lt is as	sumed	that the	caveat i	n DMHB	1 (Part I	B) which	applies	to herit	age asse	ets inher	ently in	cludes L	ocally Li	sted Bu	ildings.	
DMHB 6	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Conservations Areas	It is as	It is assumed that the caveat in DMHB1 (Part B) which applies to heritage assets inherently includes Conservation Areas.															
DMHB 7	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Areas of Special Local Character		It is assumed that the caveat in DMHB1 (Part B) which applies to heritage assets inherently includes Areas of Special Local Character.															
DMHB 8	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Gatehill Farm Estate and Copse Wood Estate Areas of Special Local Character	It is assumed that the caveat in DMHB1 (Part B) which applies to heritage assets inherently includes these listed areas.																
DMHB 9	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Registered Historic Parks, Gardens and Landscapes	This po	olicy pro	otects reg	gistered	historic	ardens a	nd lands	scapes									
DMHB 10	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



	Sustai	nabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
War Memorials	This po	olicy pro	otects an	d makes	s provisio	ons for v	var mem	orials									
DMHB 11	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heritage at Risk	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	ble prov	vision foi	[.] heritag	e assets	at risk									
DMHB 12	/	+	/	/	/	/	0	/	0	0	+	-	0	+	0	0	0
High Buildings and Structures	quality found Adviso level a	or cont in existi ory Com nd wha	erences s taminate ng urbar ment 7: t is cove more sig	ed land. h areas w The po red by t	This is a vith poo licy coul he micro	concerr r air qua d be rev oclimate	n given t lity. ised to o) and in	hat high clarify sc clude al	building ome of t	s will res he requi nmental	sult in si rements matters	gnificant s (i.e. wh s, with a	: intensi hat is me n empha	fication a eant by H asis on a	and mos numan s ir qualit	st likely k scale at g sy which	ground is
DMHB 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Design of Development	Nation B) cou develo and 'n	nal Planr Ild be re opment najor de	s out gei ning Polic viewed. is deeme velopme omes, an	cy Frame Highest ed any p nt' (part	ework. design s roposals t B) coul	The use o standard s over 10 d be revi	of the pł ls would houses iewed. ł	nrases 'h be BREE or 1000r Highest o	ighest q EAM Out n2. The design st	uality de tstanding use of tl candards	esign sta g and Co he phras would b	ndards' (de for S ses 'high pe BREE	part A) a ustainab est quali AM Outs	and 'ma de Home ity desig	jor deve es, and n n standa	lopment najor Irds' (pai	
DMHB 14	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0
Streets and Public Realm	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	r the pul	blic realı	n.									
DMHB 15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	0	+	0	+	0
Planning for Safer Places	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	vision fo	r safer p	laces										
DMHB 16	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	ectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Living Walls and Roofs	resour sough quality Advise altern of livin	rces, or f t as with y manag ory Com ative to ngs wall	flood risk o other d ement a ment 8: living w s and roo	able prov k in the p lesign re ind living The pol valls. As ofs with ad provid	oolicy. T lated po g walls an licy coul- such the air qual	the supp licies an nd roofs d be exp ey are m lity impr	orting te d theref providir panded t pore like	ext would ore proving develo o refer t y to be ts. This	d benefi vide a str opers wi to Living feasible would p	t from ex onger ju th a clea Screens The su provide p	xpansior ustificatio arer stee s which a pporting greater j	n to inclu on. The r as to w are a mu g text co justificat	ide the r text cou rhat is ex ich chea uld be e ion for t	ationale Id make xpected per and xpande their use	why the the link of them. more vi d to link in air q	ey are be between able the ben uality	eing n air
DMHB 17	/	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Residential Amenity	This p	olicy pro	ovides fu	rther bro	oad desi	gn criter	ia for de	velopm	ent.								
DMHB 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	++	0	0	++	0	0	0
Housing Standards	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	ision fo	r improv	ved hous	ing stan	dards.								
DMHB 19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0										



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Private Outdoor Amenity Space	-	olicy ma le occup		ble prov	ision fo	r amenit	y space	but mus	t be link	ed to ea	rlier pol	icies on i	resident	ial conve	ersions a	ind hous	ing in
DMHB 20 Residential Density	0 This p	0 olicy ma	0 kes suita	0 able prov	0 vision fo	0 r a suita	0 ble hous	0 Sing dens	+ sity.	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
DMHB 21 Play Space	furthe space develo but do Adviso space	r to refle provisio opment bes not e bry Com provisio	ect the r n across but is no expand o ment 9: on, eithe	ecomme the bor ot clear a on this. The po	endation ough. In is to whe licy coul or offsit	addition addition an it is tr d be stre	Hillingdo n, this po iggered. engthen	on Open blicy mal For exa ed to en	Space S kes a bro ample it sure tha	trategy bad state is requir at all ma	that des ement in ed wher jor resic	0 Howeve cribes ex relation there is dential d re dema	kisting d to the p a 'signi evelopn	eficienci provisior ficant' ir nents co	es in ope n for plan ncrease i ntribute	en and p y space i n child y e to a pla	ılay n new 'ield
DMHB 22	0	/	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Alteration and Extensions to Residential Dwellings		•		•				-		and alte st be con					• •		
DMHB 23 Outbuildings								-		0 st not be appraisir		• •		•	0 cies. Fo	0 r examp	0 le,
DMHB 24 Basement Development	0	0	0 kes suita	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
DMHB 25 Moorings			0 kes suita r residen	•			0 oorings	0 but need	0 Js to cor	0 Isider the	0 e compa	0 tibility w	0 vith neig	+ hbourin	0 g develo	0 opments	0 as
DMHB 26	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Telecommunications	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	vision fo	r telecor	nmunica	itions									
DMEI 1	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	++	+	+	0	+	++	-	0	0
Sustainable Design Standards	new d The po	evelopn olicy doe	nent is o s score	f a high o negative	quality (Iy again	albeit no st econo	ot the higomic grow	ghest qu wth due	ality wh to the a	s specific ich woul idditiona onsideree	d result I cost th	in a wor e standa	se score rds have	against e for dev	econom velopers	iic growt Howev	:h). ver,
DMEI 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reducing Carbon Emissions	provid contril Adviso	es clear butions bry Com	er policy are refer ment 10	require renced in	ments o n the pre olicy or s	on the me eceding	easures develop	to be tal ment pla	ken if th ans but r	ients of F e carbon not descr led to ac	i reducti ibed.	on targe	ts are no	ot met.	The offs	ite	
DMEI 3	/	0	0	0	0	+	0	++	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Decentralised Energy	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r decent	ralised e	nergy n	etworks.								
DMEI 4	0	/	/	/	/	/	0	0	0	/	/	-	0	0	/	0	/
Development in the Green Belt or on Metropolitan Open Land	circum Nonet	nstances	'. The ir f very e>	nplemer	ntation o	of the po	licy is th	erefore	entirely	reactive	which r	unless t nakes its y be allo	perforr	nance di	ifficult to	score.	against
DMEI 5	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Development in Green Chains	gains i Green Advise envire	n accord Grid an Ory Com	dance wi d make ment 11 Il gain.	ith the N provision L: The policy	lational n for the olicy cou cy could	Planning London Jld be ex	Policy F vision. panded new dev	ramewo to ensu	ork. In a	ddition,	the policity the policity of t	l develop cy should ly be sup to contr	d reflect oported	the GLA where i	\'s SPG, <i>A</i> t results	All Londo in a net	on
DMEI 6	0	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Development in Green Edge Locations			ikes suita ental gai	•	vision fo	r green	edge loc	ations b	ut could	be strer	ngthene	d to ensu	ire new	develop	ment co	ntribute	s to a
Euge Locations	Adviso		ment 12		olicy cou	uld be ex	kpanded	to ensu	ıre deve	lopment	: will on	ly be sup	ported	where it	t results	in a net	
DMEI 7	/	/	+	0	/	0	0	/	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	0
Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement	biodiv consec benefi The po Wildlif featur featur a cons	ersity va quence, t from v blicy the Trust, es that a es and t equence	alue'. Th it is posi- vildlife p refore sl 2010) w are unlik hat the posi- e, the posi-	e implic sible tha rotectio hould co which sho ely to be plan will licy coul	ation the t the bo n. nsider t bws a sig conside facilitat Id have a	at featur rough w he evide gnificant ered 'sig e a net g a detrim	res with ill contin nce base reductio nificant gain in eo ental im	little or nue to se e across on in wil . The po cological pact on	limited v ee a redu collecte dlife hab blicy cou l feature biodiver	value car uction in d in the bitat acro ld theref s as envi ssity as a	i be rem importa GLA rese oss Lond ore be s sioned l whole b	e focus o loved and ant habit earch pa on partic strengthe by the Na by only p ronmen	d not co ats and f cularly as ened to e ational P rotecting	mpensa features don Gar s a resul ensure p lanning g signific	ted for. that do den City t of the protectio Policy Fi cant feat	As a not curr ? (Londo loss of on of all ramewo cures.	ently on rk. As
				-	-					-		can be	-				



	Sustai	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	within	the dev	that reso velopme arance u	nt or se	ek offsit	e provis	ions. Th	e revise	ed policy	could a	lso appl	y to the	predeve	elopmen	t scenar	io and fa	actor
	develo featur constr	opment es is un ained a	ent propo should ro avoidabl nd canno improve	etain an le, repla ot provie	d enhan cement	ice any o feature:	existing ; s of the e	features equivale	s of biod nt or hig	iversity ther valu	value wi ie shoul	ithin the d be pro	site. W vided or	here the n site. V	loss of /here de	existing velopm	ent is
	must k there	be accor will be n leration	developi npanied 10 unavo of the w	by appi bidable d	ropriate adverse i	habitat impacts	assessm on biod	iversity	he propo features	osals wil and tha	l only be it there	e accept will be a	able if it net gai	can be n in biod	demonst liversity	trated th value.	
		-	that has ersity ac	-	-		-		-	-	es, sites	of impo	rtance fo	or natur	e conser	vation a	ıreas,
	All dev	velopme	ent along	gside, or	that be	nefits fr	om a fro	ntage o	n to a m	nain rive	r or the	Grand U	nion Ca	nal will l	be expec	ted to	



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	contri	bute to	the addi	tional b	iodivers	ity impro	ovement	ts.									
DMEI 8 Waterside Development	Impor the Pa Blue R Adviso the Bl	tantly th rt 2 dev ibbon N ory Com ue Ribbo	ontains a m nough it elopmer letwork. ment 13 on Netw e sustain	needs to nt contro :: The po ork. By	o reflect ol policie olicy cou reflectii	and refe s. The p uld be re ng these	erence th policy sho evised so	ne Blue F ould also o that it i	Ribbon N o referer r eflects -	letwork nce the <i>A</i> the spec	so that t All Londo C ific requ	he vision on Greer uiremen t	n of that Grid SP t s of the	plan ca D and p All Long	n be deli rovide th don Gre e	vered th ne link w en Grid a	nrough vith the and
DMEI 9 Farm Diversification	0 The po	0 olicy ma	+ kes suita	0 Ible prov	0 vision for	+ r farm di	0 iversifica	0 tion.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMEI 10	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0



	Sustai	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Management of Flood Risk	to floo these a The po approp except referen Recom Develo practio <i>All dev</i> <i>flood a</i> <i>flood a</i> <i>be req</i> <i>Propos</i>	od zone areas is olicy imp oriate. tion test nce bac nmenda opment ce guida velopme risk asse ing surf zone 3a. uired to sals tha	3a. 3b is wholly r blies that This is co set out k to the tion 2: 1 in flood ance (Flo ent prope essment ace wate The flo o make c	defined estricted if a dev ntrary to in the gu other do This poli zone 3b od Risk od Risk (FRA) to er floodi od risk ontribut	l as func d to esse eloper c o the NP uidance. ocument cy should and Coa flood ris demon fng. Crit sequenti tions to o	tional flo ntial infi an subm PF plani Whilst s. d be exp be entin stal Cha k zones strate th ical Dra fal test v addressi	podplain rastructu nit a FRA ning guid the NPP panded rely resis nge). The 2 and 30 nat the a inage An vill there ing surfa	and wh ure and wh and der dance. F F and Pa to ensur sted for he policy a as well developm reas (CD) efore be ace wate	ere wate water co nonstrat urtherm rt 1 refe e consid 'incomp y needs t l as all th nent is re A) as dej applical or flood r	er 'has to ompatible te that th ore the rence th leration atible' ty to be ch fined by ble to all risk, whe	o flow or e uses an ne devel policy de le seque of Flood ypes of c anged in r 1 hecta and resi the Loca develop ere offsit	be store and all oth opment opment ntial tes Zone 3 I Zone 5 I Zone 5 	ed' in tin her deve is resilie referenc t, this po b is diffe nent as th the fo be requi all relev rity will roposals tion me	erent to identifie blowing identifie blowing ired to b be trea in CDA casures of	iven sim ood. De ot should it would quential eds to pro other flo ed in tab recomm recs of fl ted the s s. Devel are requ im for a	velopme be resis be test or ovide a o ood zone ole 3 of t nendatio panied i cooding came wa copment ired.	ent in ted. direct es. he on: by a



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DMEI 11	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Water Management (SUDS)	links b draina floodin Adviso floodin the ru biodiv	etween ge areas ng. Devo ory Com ng. The n-off to ersity p	biodiver s needs t elopmer ment 14 e policy o the leve rovision	rsity enh to be giv it in the: : The p could th els set or and rec	anceme ven highe se areas olicy cou en be ex ut in the juire dev	nts and er priorit must be uld inclu panded plan for velopers	SUDS ar y. Critic likened de the o to ensu r major o to integ	e not cle al draina to deve bjective re all bu develope grate dra	early refe age area lopment e for all r iilt deve ment. T ainage p	erenced is are def t in flood new deve lopment the police roposals	in the po fined as I zone 3 elopmen (not jus y could i into lan	olicy. Fu being th and mus nt to res st major also exp ndscapin	arthermo ae most a st be trea ult in ne) within licitly lin ag and fo	at risk re at ed acc t-benefi critical k SUDS or biodiv	elopmen garding ordingly its for su drainage to lands versity e	t in critic surface v. urface w e areas r scape an nhancen	cal water ater educe d nents.
DMEI 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water Quality	The le subsec	vel of in quently	formatic	on being Ist these	request e targets	ed is not	t normal	ly subm	itted as	ability ob part of a practicall	plannin	g applica					
DMEI 13	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	i -											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Protection of Ground Water Resources	zones differe It shou and th Recon consid theref would Proteo Develo the qu Zones throug). The p ently by uld also herefore nmenda lered at fore be a l remove ction of copment vality or will onlighout co	olicy doe the Envir consider be amal ation 3: I a planni a a planni e the nee Water R Water R s within yield of y be performance	es not dif conment aquifers gamated DMEI 12 ng appli aated wi ed for a esource the wat mitted ij on and o	fferentia t Agency s and ot d with D on Wat ication s th DME separat s protectic er resou f it the r operatic	ate betw than SF her grou MEI12. er Quali tage an 13 to er e policy on zones rces. Do isk to th on.	bstractio reen the 23 (lowe indwater ity is not d therefo nsure a si on wate 1 and 2 evelopme e relevan	grades v er risk). feature related ore does ingle po r quality will be ents with nt groun	within th Furtherr s. It cou to Part s not scc blicy on t y. DMEI strongly thin sour	a resisted rce prote urface w	es, for exercises, fo	ample, relates t to prote an. It is ainst sus water o d be rew it can be one 3, Sa cource co	SPZ1s (h to design ect the q not clea stainabil quality a vorded a feguard an be mo	igh risk) hated gru uality of ity obje ind all co s follow strated Zones o anaged	are trea oundwat all cont t would ctives. I ontrolled vs: that the and Wat to appro	ted very ter resource rolled wa be feasil t could t could d waters re is no n er Prote opriate la	rices. aters oly . This risk to ction evels



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	i -											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	i. 		-	-			-			of contar							
	ii. iii.	Signif		ter cons	umptio	n unless	it can be	e demor		duce this that mit		-			e consui	nption t	o an
DMEI 14	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	+	-	0	0
Water Efficiency	14 pro develo	ovides a opment	useful bi to meet	road pol the high	icy that est poss	meets th sible rati	ne object ng in the	tives of o Code fo	other pla or Sustai	r the sam ans and p nable Ho	orogram omes. T	mes. DN here is a	/IEI 15 e concerr	xpands on that wi	on this a hilst this	nd requi may be	res
DMEI 15 Water Efficiency in Homes	for Co delive measu	de Leve red and ures can	l 4 (105 l securing be more	itres per g water e e difficul [:]	person efficienc t to live	per day y. Code with (lov) is set el Level 6 (v capaci ¹	lsewher 80 litres ty baths	e in the l per per for exar	and be t Plan and son per o nple). Fi ater or g	represe day) can nally, th	nts a bal be more e policy	ance be e costly needs to	tween v to delive o relate	what can er and th to water	be prac e reduct efficien	tically tion t
DMEI 16	the us	e of low	flush to	ilets but	more is	needed	in term	s of tech	nology t	to ensure	the reu	ise of wa	iter.				
Water Efficiency in Non- Residential Developments	expan	ded to e		ew deve	lopmer	t achiev	-			6 and 15 e water t			-	-			



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DMEI 17	0	0	0	/	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Development of Land Affected by Contamination	consid Advise conta	der the in ory Com minatio	mplication ment 16 n and re	ons for g 5: No ch mediati	anges to on need	ater pro o this po s to suff	tection a licy are iciently	recomm conside	iust mad ended a r the imj	minated le suitabl issuming pacts on pvision ir	le for the ; that Re control	e end us comme led wate	sers of the	2 is adh	ant deve nered to	lopment	
DMEI 18	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air Quality	mana streng but it develo Adviso the he impac	gement sthened is not clo opers for ory Com eart of g	area wit to ensur ear from r the pro ment 17 reen tra policy co	h many re develo the sup ovision o 7: The p vel plan ould incl	of the m opers ac porting f offsite olicy cou s and fo lude sup	ajor roa tively co text wha measur uld be e r contril port for	ds excee ntribute at this m es to imp xpanded butions t additio	eding mi to air qu eans. Th prove air to mak to be sou nal vege	nimum a uality ma ne policy quality. e it a req ught froi station t	Much of air qualit could al could al quireme m develo hrough l delivere	y standa ent. The lso refer nt for de opments iving wa	target (set target (to gree evelope s that re	at EU le of air qua n travel rs to pla esult in a	vel). Th ality neu plans, a ce air qu net inci	is policy atrality is nd contr a ality im rease in	could be support ibutions provem air quali	ted, from ents at ity



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	5											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
MIN 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Safeguarded Areas for Minerals	The p	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	vision fo	r minera	lls sites.										
MIN 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Safeguarded Sites for Minerals	The p	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r future	minerals	sites.									
MIN 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prior Extraction	The p	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r the pro	otection	of mine	rals sites								
MIN 4	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minerals Capacity	The p	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r the fut	ure worl	king of la	and.								



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
MIN 5	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
Use of Farmland	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able prov	vision fo	r the mi	neral wo	orking or	n farmlar	nd.							
MIN 6	0	++	++	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
Water Areas	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able prov	vision fo	r the res	storation	of mine	erals site	for wate	er basec	l activity					
MIN 7	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
Ready Mixed Concrete Plants	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able prov	vision fo	r ready i	mixed co	oncrete p	plants.								
MIN 8	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landfilling	The p	olicy ma	kes suita	ble prov	vision fo	r landfill	ing prop	osals (w	hich are	largely s	subjecte	d to the	West Lo	ndon W	'aste Pla	n policie	ιs).



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	5											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
MIN 9	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Effects on the Hydrogeological Regime	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r the eff	ects of tl	ne Hydro	ogeologi	cal regin	ne in lan	dfilling p	proposal	s.			
MIN 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noise Impacts	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	ible prov	ision fo	r noise ii	mpacts f	rom mir	nerals de	evelopme	ents.						
DMCI 1	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	0	0
Retention of Existing Community, Sport and Education Facilities		olicy sui rogramn		otects ex	cisting co	ommunit	ty, sport	and edu	ucation p	provision	in line v	with the	London	Plan, Pa	rt 1 and	other pl	ans
DMCI 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	+	0	+	+	+	+	0
New Community Infrastructure	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	or new co	ommunit	y infrast	tructure								



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DMCI 3	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	+	0	+	++	0	0	0
Public Open Space Provision	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	r open s	pace pro	ovision.				-					
DMCI 4	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	+	0	+	++	0	0	0
Open Spaces in New Development	This p	olicy inc	ludes re	ference	to mitiga	ating def	iciencie	s in exist	ting prov	ision wh	ich is su	pported					
DMCI 5	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	+	0	++	0	0	0	0
Children's Play Areas		•	kes suita g growir	•		r childre	n's play	areas.	Гhe inclu	sion of r	nitigatin	g existir	ng deficie	encies is	support	ed and v	vill
DMCI 6	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	+	0	++	0	0	0	0
Indoor Sports and Leisure Facilities	This p	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	r indoor	sports a	and leisu	ire facilit	ies.							



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DMCI 7	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	+	0	++	0	0	0	0
Promoting Participation	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able pro	vision fo	r promo	ting par	ticipatio	n in spor	rts.							
DMCI 8	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able pro	vision fo	r plannii	ng obliga	ations ar	nd CIL.								
DMT 1	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	0	0	0	0	0
Managing Transport Impacts	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able pro	vision fo	r transp	ort impa	cts.									
DMT 2	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	++	++	0	0	0	0	0
Highways Impacts	This p	olicy ma	ikes suita	able pro	vision fo	r highwa	ays.										



	Sustai	inabilit	y Appra	isal Obj	jectives												
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
DMT 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	0	0	0	0	0
Road Safeguarding	This po	olicy sui	tably saf	eguards	the Hay	es Bypas	ss Link										
DMT 4	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	++	++	0	0	0	0	0
Public Transport	This po	olicy ma	kes suita	able prov	vision fo	r public i	transpor	t.									
DMT 5	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrians and Cyclists	the po	licy. Dry Com	ment 18	: The p	olicy cou	ild Inclu		ence to	the Grai	irand Un nd Unior nts.				·		·	
DMT 6		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	-	++	-	0	0	++	+	0



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Vehicle Parking	transp Hilling requir additio sustain Adviso demo	ortatior don is n es a hig onal traf nability ory Com nstrated	n. The ca ot an inr her parki fic on th objective ment 19 J. The Po	nr parkir ner Lonc ng ratio e roads es. : The s o blicy co	ng ratio f lon Boro to allow which h upportin uld inclu	or Hilling ugh and / the boi as a neg ng text ir de speci	gdon is s does no rough to ative imp nplies th i fic refer	lightly h t have t meet th bact on a at the p ence to	igher th he same ne dema air qualit parking s air qual	gh and th an the re level of nds of re ty. On b standard ity objec transpor	s could tives as	nded lev tions thr and com the polic be varie	vels set c oughout imuters. y scores d if wide	out in th the bor Conver positive	e Londo rough. T rsely, thi ely again ing obje	n Plan. 'his in tu s promo st the ctives ca	tes I n be
DMT 7	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0
Freight	minim Part (E numbe Netwo Branch	ise the i B) of this er of sm ork shou n of the	mpacts of policy ir aller wat Id be rep canal, ha	on air qu ncludes ercours blaced b as been	uality. referenc es and r y sole re identifie	e to the ivers wh ference d by the	Blue Rik ich are r to the G GLA as l	bon Net ot appro rand Un naving p	twork fo opriate f ion Can ootential	proposa or freight for the m al. The u for the r stigate th	. In Hilli novemen use of th moveme	ngdon tl nt of frei is for fre ent of fre	ne Blue I ght. Ref ight, pai	Ribbon I erence ticularly e policy	Network to the Bl / the Pao [/] should	includes ue Ribbo Idington	a on



	Susta	inabilit	y Appra	isal Ob	jectives	;											
Local Plan Part 2 Policy	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	Adviso	ory Com	ment 20): The p	olicy cou	uld be e	xpanded	to inclu	ıde an ai	r quality	/ objecti	ive in Pa	rt A of t	he polic	y .		
DMAV 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Operation of Airports	The po	olicy ma	kes suita	able pro	vision fo	r the saf	e operat	ion of a	irports.								
DMAV 2	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	+	0
Heathrow Airport	This p	olicy cou	uld be st	rengthe	ned to se	eek imp	rovemen	its to air	quality a	and not j	just min	imise fui	rther im	pacts.			
		-	ment 21 nent to a		ge (A)iv † ty'	to - 'the	re is con	sistency	with Co	uncil's A	ir Quali	ty Mana	gement	Plan ob	jectives	and the	re is a
DMAV 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RAF Northolt	This po	olicy ma	ikes suita	able pro	vision fo	r RAF N	ortholt.										



8. Appraisal of Policies Conclusions

8.1. General Comments

- 8.1.1. In general, the policies score well against the sustainability objectives. The plan largely reflects other plans and programmes and also the baseline position set out in this report and objectives described in Part 1 of the Local Plan.
- 8.1.2. The appraisal has found that there are three policies that require changes to ensure they are consistent with other plans or programmes or the wider national planning framework. In addition, there are a series of advisory comments to strengthen the policies to reflect better against sustainability objectives.
- 8.1.3. The table below summarises the appraisal and provides an overall 'score' for each policy. The recommendations include specific policy rewording which could be adopted or provide the basis for a policy review. In addition the advisory comments are included under the relevant policy, and if followed will help the plan perform even more positively against the sustainability objectives. The three recommendations relate to Policies:
- 8.1.4. **DMEI 7: Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement** The recommendation for this policy relates to the need to reflect the National Planning Policy Framework. The policy should be strengthened to ensure that development contributes to a net increase in biodiversity value. The policy as written aims to protect only significant features and therefore does not score as strongly against biodiversity objectives as one that requires consideration of all biodiversity.
- 8.1.5. **DMEI 10: Management of Flood Risk** The recommendation reflects the national planning practice guidance which differentiates between flood zones 3b and 3a. The policy as written implies that development in 3b (functional floodplain) and 3a (area with high probability of flooding) will be considered in the same way. Only certain types of essential infrastructure and water compatible development are appropriate in 3b and the policy should reflect this. In addition, the recommendation also makes specific reference to the need for the flood risk sequential test to be applied for development in critical drainage areas.



8.1.6. **DMEI 12 and 13 - Protection of Water Resources** - The recommendation reflects the requirements of the Water Framework Directive and the National Planning Policy Framework. It expands the policy so that there is a clearer framework to manage both water quality and quantity. This provides a natural progression from the water quality policies in Part 1 but also allows greater certainty that the sustainability objectives regarding protection of quality and quantity of water can be achieved.



Economy			
DME 1	Employment Uses in Designated Sites		++
DME 2	Employment Uses Outside of Designated Sites		++
DME 3	Office Development		++
DME 4	Visitor Attractions	Advisory Comment 1: The policy needs could be expanded to consider encouraging the provision of visitor attractions, particularly in reference to the Colne Valley which is an objective of Part 1.	+
DME 5	Hotels and Visitor Accommodation	Advisory Comment 2: Specific air quality criteria could be included particularly given the baseline air quality position around Heathrow.	+
DME 6	Accessible Hotels and Visitor Accommodation		++
Town Cent	res		
DMTC 1	Town Centre Development		++
DMTC 2	Primary and Secondary Shopping Areas	Advisory Comment 3: This policy could be expanded to consider the research contained in the GLA's	
DMTC 3	Maintaining the Viability of Local Centres and Local Parades	Takeaway Toolkit. The Policy could contain a caveat that the 15% could be restricted further for proposals within close proximity to schools where appropriate.	+
DMTC 4	Location and Concentration of		



	Town Centre Uses		
DMTC 5	Shopfronts		
New Home	s		
DMH 1	Safeguarding Existing Housing	Advisory Comment 4: The policy could be expanded to include lower parking ratios for subdivision in areas well served by public transport to reduce impacts on air quality.	+
DMH 2	Housing Mix		++
DMH 3	Office Conversions	Advisory Comment 5: The policy could be expanded to set out alternative approaches for amenity space	+
DMH 4	Residential Conversions	provision for office redevelopments if it cannot be secured onsite, for example through the encouraging	+
DMH 5	Houses in Multiple Occupation	offsite provisions.	+
DMH 6	Garden and Backland Development	Advisory Comment 6: The policy could be strengthened to reflect the National Planning Policy Framework objective to provide a net gain for biodiversity from new development. The following bullet point is recommended: 'Proposals demonstrate a net gain in biodiversity value'	+
DMH 7	Provision of Affordable Housing		++
DMH 8	Sheltered Housing and Care Homes		
Historic and	d Built Environment		
DMHB 1	Heritage Assets		++
DMHB 2	Scheduled Ancient Monuments		++
DMHB 3	Archaeological Priority Areas		++
DMHB 4	Listed Buildings		++



DMHB 5	Locally Listed Buildings		++
DMHB 6	Conservations Areas		++
DMHB 7	Areas of Special Local Character		++
DMHB 8	Gatehill Farm Estate and Copse Wood Estate Areas of Special Local Character		++
DMHB 9	Registered Historic Parks, Gardens and Landscapes		++
DMHB 10	War Memorials		++
DMHB 11	Heritage at Risk		++
DMHB 12	High Buildings and Structures	Advisory Comment 7: The policy could be revised to clarify some of the requirements (i.e. what is meant by human scale at ground level and what is covered by the microclimate) and include all environmental matters, with an emphasis on air quality which is likely to be a more significant matter than biodiversity - alternatively, the environmental objectives could be left to other policies in the plan.	+
DMHB 13	Design of Development		+
DMHB 14	Streets and Public Realm		+
DMHB 15	Planning for Safer Places		++
DMHB 16	Living Walls and Roofs	Advisory Comment 8: The policy could be expanded to refer to Living Screens which are a much cheaper and more viable alternative to living walls. As such they are more likely to be feasible. The supporting text could be expanded to link the benefits of livings walls and roofs with air quality improvements. This would provide greater justification for their use in air quality management areas and provide a stronger basis for their implementation during the development of planning proposals.	+
DMHB 17	Residential Amenity		?



DMHB 18	Housing Standards		++
DMHB 19	Private Outdoor Amenity Space		++
DMHB 20	Residential Density		++
DMHB 21	Play Space	Advisory Comment 9: The policy could be strengthened to ensure that all major residential developments contribute to a play space provision, either onsite or offsite. This would allow plan to not only meet future demand but to ensure the current deficiencies are also addressed.	+
DMHB 22	Alteration and Extensions to Residential Dwellings		++
DMHB 23	Outbuildings		++
DMHB 24	Basement Development		++
DMHB 25	Moorings		++
DMHB 26	Telecommunications		++
Environme	ntal Improvements		
DMEI 1	Sustainable Design Standards		++
DMEI 2	Reducing Carbon Emissions	Advisory Comment 10: The Policy or supporting text could be expanded to address the cost for offsite contributions and how the funds will be spent by the authority.	+
DMEI 3	Decentralised Energy		++
DMEI 4	Development in the Green Belt or on Metropolitan Open Land		++
DMEI 5	Development in Green Chains	Advisory Comment 11: The policy could be expanded to ensure development will only be supported where it results in a net environmental gain. The policy could require new development, where appropriate, to contribute to the All London Green Grid relevant to the scale and nature of the	+



		development.	
DMEI 6	Development in Green Edge	Advisory Comment 12: The policy could be expanded to ensure development will only be supported	
DIVIELO	Locations	where it results in a net environmental gain.	+
DMEI 7	Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement	Recommendation 1: The policy could be revised to ensure that it requires a net environmental gain in line with the National Planning Policy Framework. Whilst it is acknowledged that not all ecological features can be preserved or retained, any development that results in a loss of ecological features must include a like for like replacement within the development or seek offsite provisions. The revised policy could also apply to the predevelopment scenario and factor in any site clearance undertake prior to planning submissions. The policy should be reworded in accordance with the following:	
		All development proposals should aim to achieve a net improvement in biodiversity value. The design and layout of new development should retain and enhance any existing features of biodiversity value within the site. Where the loss of existing features is unavoidable, replacement features of the equivalent or higher value should be provided on site. Where development is constrained and cannot provide high quality biodiversity enhancements on site, then appropriate contributions will be sought to deliver offsite improvements.	+
		Proposals for development that are likely to have an impact on features of ecological value either onsite, or in close proximity, must be accompanied by appropriate habitat assessments. The proposals will only be acceptable if it can be demonstrated that there will be no adverse impacts on biodiversity features or that there will be a net gain in biodiversity value.	
		Development that has a negative impact on designated sites, protected species, sites of importance for nature conservation areas, and/or biodiversity action plans species and habitats shall be resisted.	
		All development alongside, or that benefits from a frontage on to a main river or the Grand Union	



		Canal will be expected to contribute to the additional biodiversity improvements.	
DMEI 8	Waterside Development	Advisory Comment 13: The policy could be revised so that it reflects the specific requirements of the All London Green Grid and the Blue Ribbon Network. By reflecting these 'other plans and programmes' more closely, the policy will be strengthened in relation to the sustainability objectives.	+
DMEI 9	Farm Diversification		++
DMEI 10	Management of Flood Risk	Recommendation 2: This policy should be expanded to ensure consideration of Flood Zone 3b is different to other flood zones. Development in flood zone 3b should be entirely resisted for 'incompatible' types of development as identified in table 3 of the practice guidance (Flood Risk and Coastal Change). The policy needs to be changed in line with the following recommendation:All development proposals in flood risk zones 2 and 3a as well as all those over 1 hectare will be required to be accompanied by a flood risk assessment (FRA) to demonstrate that the development is resisteant and resilient to all relevant sources of flooding including surface water flooding. Critical Drainage Areas (CDA) as defined by the Local Authority will be treated the same way as flood zone 	+
DMEI 11	Water Management	Advisory Comment 14: The policy could include the objective for all new development to result in net- benefits for surface water flooding. The policy could then be expanded to ensure all built development (not just major) within critical drainage areas reduce the run-off to the levels set out in the plan for major development. The policy could also explicitly link SUDS to landscape and biodiversity provision and require developers to integrate drainage proposals into landscaping and for biodiversity enhancements.	+
DMEI 12	Water Quality	Recommendation 3: DMEI 12 on Water Quality is not related to Part 1 of the Local Plan. It is not	-



DMEI 13	Protection of Ground Water Resources	 clear how it would be feasibly considered at a planning application stage and therefore does not score positively against sustainability objectives. It could therefore be amalgamated with DME 13 to ensure a single policy on the protection of water quality and all controlled waters. This would remove the need for a separate policy on water quality. DMEI 12 and 13 could be reworded as follows: Protection of Water Resources Developments within source protection zones 1 and 2 will be resisted unless it can demonstrated that there is no risk to the quality or yield of the water resources. Developments within source protection zone 3, Safeguard Zones and Water Protection Zones will only be permitted if it the risk to the relevant ground and surface water can be managed to appropriate levels throughout construction and operation. In general, development will not be permitted which would constitute a risk to the quality and/or quantity of water by means of: iv. Pollution from development or as a result of the disturbance of contaminated land; v. Water abstraction unless adequate measures are taken to reduce this risk to an acceptable level. vi. Significant water consumption unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation measures will reduce the consumption to an acceptable level relevant to the resource available. 	+
DMEI 14	Water Efficiency	Advisory Commont 15: Policy DMEL 14 could be marged with DMEL 16 and 15 could be deleted. The	
DMEI 15	Water Efficiency in Homes	Advisory Comment 15: Policy DMEI 14 could be merged with DMEI 16 and 15 could be deleted. The policy could then be expanded to ensure new development achieves reductions in potable water through	+
DMEI 16	Water Efficiency in Non- Residential Developments	water efficient design and the reuse of collected grey water or rainwater (or both).	



DMEI 17	Development of Land Affected by Contamination	Advisory Comment 16: The policy should Include provision for groundwater protection.	+	
DMEI 18	Air Quality	Advisory Comment 17: The policy could be expanded to make it a requirement for developers to place air quality improvements at the heart of green travel plans and for contributions to be sought from developments that result in a net increase in air quality impacts. The policy should include support for additional vegetation through living walls and roofs, and include provisions for offsite measures to improve air quality if onsite measures cannot be delivered.	+	
MIN 1	Safeguarded Areas for Minerals		++	
MIN 2	Additional Safeguarded Sites for Minerals		++	
MIN 3	Prior Extraction		++	
MIN 4	Minerals Capacity		++	
MIN 5	Use of Farmland		++	
MIN 6	Water Areas		++	
MIN 7	Ready Mixed Concrete Plants		++	
MIN 8	Landfilling		++	
MIN 9	Effects on the Hydrogeological Regime		++	
MIN 10	Noise Impacts		++	
Community	Community Infrastructure			
DMCI 1	Retention of Existing Community, Sport and		++	



	Education Facilities		
DMCI 2	New Community Infrastructure		++
DMCI 3	Public Open Space Provision		+
DMCI 4	Open Spaces in New Development		++
DMCI 5	Children's Play Areas		++
DMCI 6	Indoor Sports and Leisure Facilities		++
DMCI 7	Promoting Participation		++
DMCI 8	Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy		+
Transport a	nd Aviation		
DMT 1	Managing Transport Impacts		+
DMT 2	Highways Impacts		++
DMT 3	Road Safeguarding		++
DMT4	Public Transport		
DMT 5	Pedestrians and Cyclists	Advisory Comment 18: The policy could Include reference to the Grand Union Canal in part B of the policy as this contains an existing cycle highway that could benefit from significant improvements.	++
		Advisory Comment 19: The supporting text implies that the parking standards could be varied if wider planning objectives can be demonstrated. The Policy could include specific reference to air quality	



DMT 7	Freight	Advisory Comment 20: The policy could be expanded to include an air quality objective in Part A of the policy.	+
DMAV 1	Safe Operation of Airports		++
DMAV 2	Heathrow Airport	Advisory Comment 21: Change (A)iv to - 'there is consistency with Council's Air Quality Management Plan objectives and there is a net improvement to air quality'	+
DMAV 3	RAF Northolt		++



9. Appraisal of Site Allocations

9.1. Introduction

- 9.1.1. The main purpose of the Site Allocations Plan is to provide a spatial framework for how the Council will deliver housing, employment, retail, transport, education, health, community facilities, sport and leisure, over the plan period.
- 9.1.2. The Allocations are therefore an integral part of the plan making process and also are required to be subjected to the sustainability appraisal framework.

9.2. Methodology

- 9.2.1. The same appraisal framework is applied to the site allocations as that for the policies. However, the selection of sites is inherently constrained by a number of other factors, most of which are set out in the supporting documents, i.e. the employment land study or the open space strategy. Furthermore, restrictions are placed on the suitability of land by its viability and deliverability.
- 9.2.2. The following appraisal will therefore consider each of the proposed employment and housing allocations against the sustainability framework. However, it acknowledges the impact on the allocations of the background documents and the strategic objectives set out in Part 1.

9.3. Application of Other Policies

- 9.3.1. All the sites appraised will be come forward through the planning application process and therefore will be subjected to the other policies in this plan. The appraisal will therefore take into account the application of these policies and what should be delivered through a planning application.
- 9.3.2. Specific comments will be provided where there are particular concerns with a site that may score highly against a number of objectives, but requires a site specific policy to compensate for a particularly low score against other objectives. In this instance, the reliance on the policy framework may not be sufficient.



	Sust	ainab	ility Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Housing Allocations	-	0	/	0	0	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	/	+	0	-
SA1 - Enterprise House, Hayes	of th neigh confl more	e exist nbouri lict wit e traffi	ion wou ing buil ng listed h the lis c impac sing ho	ding w d buildi sted bu ting on	ould co ing; a co ildings air qua	onstrain omplet and the ality ob	design e rebui e conse jectives	i althou Id wou ervatior s. On b	igh woi Id resul n area i alance	uld mai t in a m t is with the dev	ntain t iore m iin. Ho velopm	he exist odern e ousing c nent ma	ting rel efficien on the s	ationsh cy struc site wou	iip with cture b uld like	the ut coul ly gene	d rate
	-	0	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	++	+	/
SA2 - Old Vinyl Factory and Gatefold Building, Hayes	into site r cons	the de educe tructio	ive site sign and s the op n time uality m	d provio portur period	des for nity for would	high qu a futur provide	uality d e incre	evelopi ased pr	ment tł rovisior	nat is ac n for jot	cessib s altho	le to all ough it	. The l is reco	oss of i gnised t	ndustr that the	/ from e exten	this sive
SA3 - Eastern end of Blyth Road, Hayes	- As ab	0 ove	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	++	+	/

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014



	Sust	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	+	0	-
SA4 - Packet Boat House, Cowley	resid in the	ential e air qu	uses po Jality m	tential anager	ly resul ment a	ting in rea alth	a reduo Iough t	ed pro here ar	vision f e stron	nd. The for hous ng and a r this sit	ing. A	ddition ple tran	al traff sport l	ic wou inks. H	d also	be exp	ected
	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	0	0	0
SA5 - Olympic House, Grove Lane, Hilligndon										place ar render							ely.
	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	++	0	+	/	0	+	0	0	0
SA6 - Initial House, Field End Road, Eastcote	was r	edund	ant for	many	years.	The site	e is nex	t to a c	onserv	in the re ation ar ce wate	ea but	with g					
	0	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	0	0	0
SA7 - 119 - 137 Charville Lane, Hayes	has n	ot yet	been ir	npleme	ented.	ا Aerial	ohotog	raphs s	how th	vhilst be le site to Il conne	o have	been u	sed for	incon	gruous		



	Susta	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
SA8 - no allocation																	
	-	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	0	0	0
SA9 - Porter's Way, West Drayton	effici	ent use versity	e of an	area w	ith exte	ensive ł	ardsta	nding.	ion tha The in ional tra	clusion	of gree	en and	garden	space	improv	es	
	-	+	/	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	+	++	-	0	-
SA10 - Land to south of railway (including Nestles), Hayes	The s oppo inclus the p land. this d depe	ite inc ortunity sion of oroposa The p develop ndent	ludes li 7 from 6 a mixe al woul 9 olicy ir 9 on imp	sted bu this site ed use s d result nclusior scores lement	uildings e and th scheme t in pos n for ed high, al tation c	and is be neigl but on itive be ucatior though of the re	in a con bourir balanc nefits faciliti the inf elevant	nservat ng Blyth e the e for eco es on t cegratio policie	been pro tion are he Road employ logy an the site on of th es. The res pos	ea. The d develo ment o d flood also sc ne conso increas	combi opmen ffer for risk ar ores po ervatio se in tra	ned los t is a cc the sit d will k ositively n area affic in	s of the oncern. e is like oe an e y. Envi and list Hayes i	e emplo The po ely to b fficient ronmer ted buil	oyment olicy re e lowe use of ntally a ding w	quires r. How brown nd soci ill be	the ever, field ally



	Sust	ainabi	ility Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	+	/	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	+	/	0	++	+	+	+
SA11 - Western Core, Hayes	whic	h has e	employr	nent ar	nd com	munity	benefi	its. The	e corres	uses. T spondin e uses. (g polic	y requi	res the	e inclusi	on of ł	nousing	
	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA12 - Field End Road, Eastcote									-	nificantl ronmen			negativ	ely. It i	s howe	ever a g	ood
	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	-
SA13 - Charles Wilson Engineers, Uxbridge Road, Hayes	use o	of an ex	•	ite, we	ll conn	ected a	nd wit	h limite	ed envir	nificantl ronmen vment.			-	•		-	
	0	/	/	0	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA14 - Royal Quay, Summerhouse Lane, Harefield	there alloc	e is a co ation v	onserva vill resu	tion de It in the	esignati e efficio	on on t ent reu	he site se of th	and na ne site.	ature co Howe	y small s onservativer, the cores po	tion in re are	tereste concer	d in the	e surro r links te	unding o susta	area. inable	The



	Sust	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	there	e are e	nvironn	nental (uncerta	ainties.											
	0	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	+	/	+	0	0	+	0	0	-
SA15 - Royal Sorting Office, Ruislip Manor						•				ronmen it has so	-		•				using
	0	+	+	0	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	/	0	0	-
SA16 - West End Road, South Ruislip	the land hous giver flight balar	oss of a ing opp n the si ts. The nce the	a builde portuni te is in develo	er's yard ties. Th close p opment ores po	d with a nere is roximit will re ositively	associat uncerta ty to RA sult in t y but ca	ted env ainty al VF Nort the loss	vironme bout th holt wh s of a si	ental in e relati nich has te that	ronmen npacts. onship s been g has sor over the	The al with ar granted ne emp	location menity, d conse ployme	n also p health nt to ir nt gen	orovide n and ne ncrease erating	s addit oise ob the an benefi	ional jective nount c ts. On	s of
	-	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA17 - Braintree Road, South Ruislip	uses	, provid	les for	employ	ment a	and res	ults in a	additio	nal hou	attempt sing. T t in a ne	he incr	ease in	traffic	is a co	ncern a	is is the	



	Sust	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	prov	ision of	femplo	yment	oppor	tunities											
	-	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA18 - Chailey Industrial Estate, Pump Lane, Hayes	uses, quali	, provic ty imp	les for o	employ vironm	/ment a ientally	and res , the al	ults in a locatio	additio	nal hou	attempi Ising. T It in a no	he incr	ease in	traffic	is a co	ncern a	as is the	
	-	+	+	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA19 - Silverdale Road/Western View, Hayes	rede oppo regat high shou shou	velopm ortuniti rds to a which Id be a Id be a	nent of es in th air quali justifies dhered cceptal	the site e area. ty, it is a high . Thes ole for	e would It will Ikely the er den e allow sites w	d howe also re the dev sity but ances a ith high	ver res sult in elopmo t then a are alre n PTAL	ult in a benefit ent will also sta ady hig ratings.	far mo ts again increa tes tha gher tha Justif	or a futi re effici ist many se traffi t car pa an the L fying hig nigh par	ent us y of the c. The rking r ondon gh dens	e of lan e enviro policy equirer averag sities bo	d that onment accept ments s ge and a	increas tal obje s that F set out a reduc	es the ectives. PTAL ra in the ction in	housin With tings ai Plan Po the rat	re olicies



	Sust	ainabi	ility Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA20 - Long Lane, Hillingdon	This	is a sm	all scale	e propo	osal tha	t shoul	d resul	t in a n	et gain	in envii	ronmei	ntal ber	nefits.				
	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	0	0	+	0	+	0
SA21 - High Street, 25-30 Baker's Road, Uxbridge			all scale , improv	• •			-			nmenta	l bene	fits but	will re	sult in a	a more	efficie	nt
	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA22 - Martin Close and Valley Road,		is a sm dards.	all scale	e propo	osal tha	t will re	esult in	the sai	me hou	ising lar	nd use	as pres	ent, bu	t with l	nigher	design	
	-	++	++	+	++	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	+	++	+	+	0
SA23 - St Andrew's Park, Uxbridge	and socia	invaria ally, thi	bly resu s alloca	ilts in a tion sc	lower ores sig	employ nifican	vment o tly pos	offering itively a	g albeit across t	d use d of a sp the obje that ad	ecialist ectives	nature apart f	. Howe	ever, ei ose rela	nvironr ated to	nentall transp	y and ort



	Sust	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
		it is unl ctives.	ikely to	result	in net	benefit	s for ai	r qualit	y and t	ranspor	t thus	scoring	less fa	vourab	ly agai	nst the	se
	-	++	++	+	++	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	++	0	0	0
SA24 - Master Brewer and Hillingdon Circus	Belt beer	to the v relativ	west. T /ely unt	he dev ised fo	elopmo r a nun	ent woi nber of	uld resu years.	ult in hi There	igh qua are und	opment lity desi certaint ct on ai	gns an ies abo	d the root	edevel	opmen	t of site	es that	have
	-	++	++	+	++	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	/	+	0	+
SA25 - Cape Boards, Iver Lane, Cowley	emp the e	loymer environ	nt uses o mental	on an u object	inused ives bu	site an t would	d there d have	fore re air qua	sults in lity and	opment an effic l transpo hbourir	cient u ort im	se of la pacts.	nd. It s There a	scores l ire also	highly a uncer	against tainties	
	-	++	++	+	++	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA26 - Cygnet Way, Hayes	rede	velopn	nent of	the site	e would	howe	ver res	ult in a	far mo	or a futu re effici st many	ent us	e of lan	d that	increas	es the		g



	Sust	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	++	+	+	0	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA27 - Hayes Bridge, Uxbridge Road, Hayes	redev oppo	velopn rtuniti	industr nent of es in th xt to th	the site e area	e would It will	l howe also re	ver res sult in	ult in a benefit	far mo s again	re effici st man	ent us	e of lan	d that i	increas	es the	housing	5
	-	++	++	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	0	-
SA28 - Padcroft Works, Tavistock Road, Yiewlsey	oppo oppo unce	rtunity rtuniti rtaintie	nefits fr / for a f es in th es regai that wi	uture i e area. ding tr	ncrease It will anspor	ed prov also re t impa	ision fo sult in cts and	or jobs. benefit air qua	The re s again ality. O	develo st man n balan	pment y of the ce the	of the e enviro develo	site wo onment pment	uld inc al obje	rease t ctives.	he hou: There	are
	-	++	++	+	+	++	0	0	++	+	++	-	0	+	0	+	0
SA29 - Trout Road, Yiewsley	existi mixe envir deve	ng use d use s onmer	industr is have icheme ntal obj nt repro nt.	been ir that pr ectives	n a histe rovides . There	oric sta for hoi e are ur	te of de using a ncertair	ecline. nd emp nties re	The re ployme garding	edevelo nt. It w g transp	pment ill also oort im	of the result i pacts a	site wo n bene nd air c	uld hov fits aga quality.	wever i iinst m On ba	result ir any of t lance t	n a the he



	Susta	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	0	+	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA30 - Uxbridge Health Centre, Chippendale Waye, Uxbridge	deve	lopme	nt shou	ld resu	lt in a r	net gair	n in env	ironme	ental ar	ovides a nd socia ive imp	l objec	tives. ⁻			•		
	0	+	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
SA31 - Odyessy Business Park, South Ruislip	The r empl	edeve oymer	lopmer nt. It wi	ill also	e site w result i	vould h	owevei fits aga	result inst ma	in a mi any of t	oortunit ixed use he envi r parkin	schen ronme	ne that ntal ob	provid jectives	es for h	nousing	and	
	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	++	+	++	0	0	++	0	0	0
SA32 - St Andrew's Park, Annington Homes site	and i socia	nvarial	oly resu s alloca	ilts in a	lower	employ	/ment o	offering	g albeit	d use d of a spe the obje	ecialist	nature	e. How	ever, ei	nvironr	nentall	y and



	Sust	ainab	ility Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
Rebalancing Employment Land	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	+	++	++	++
Horton Road, Yiewsley	reter uses posit	ntion o accept ively a	fectivel f the ex table wi gainst t quality	isting u thin th he air o	uses an e area. quality	d there The si	fore pr te is wi	ovides thin an	certain air qua	ity to de ality ma	evelop inagen	ers, bus nent are	sinesse ea and	s and re therefo	esident pre can	s over not sco	the ore
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	+	++	++	++
Stockley Close, Heathrow	reter uses posit redu impa	ntion o accept ively a ces air cts of	fectivel f the ex table wi gainst t quality intensif	isting (thin th he air (impac ying er	uses an e area. quality ts. The	d there The si objecti site im	fore pr te is wi ves unl mediat	ovides thin an ess the tely boi	certain air qua re sign rders th	ity to de ality ma ificant r ne Prolo	evelop inagen edeve ogis Pai	ers, bus ient are lopmen rk alloca	sinesse ea and it of the ation a	s and re therefo e site co nd the	esident ore can omes fo cumula	s over not sco orward itive	the ore that
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	/	++	++	++
Prologis Park, Stockley Park, Hayes	reter	ntion o	fectivel f the ex table wi	isting u	uses an	d there	fore pr	ovides	certain	nty to de	evelop	ers, bus	sinesse	s and re	esident	s over	the



	Susta	ainabi	lity Ap	praisa	l Obje	ctives											
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	reside score forwa cumu	ents fr positi ard tha llative	om this vely ag at reduc impact	s develo ainst th ces air o	opment ne air q quality ensifyir	t site. T uality o impact	The site bjectiv s. The	e is with es unle site im	nin an a ess ther mediat	e as to h ir qualit e signifi ely bord to be ca	cy man cant ro lers th	ageme edevelo e Stock	nt area opment ley Par	and th of the k alloca	nerefor site co ation a	e canno omes nd the	ot
Rigby Lane, Swallowfield Way, Betham Road, Dawley Road West, Hayes	reten uses a Impo wildli an air	tion o accept rtance fe. Ac qualit	f the ex able w for Na cess to ty mana	kisting u ithin th ture Cc the site agemer	uses an e area. onserva e is goc nt area	d there The si tion. A od with and the	fore pr te bord ny furt improv erefore	ovides lers the her de ve links canno	certair e Grand velopm foreca t score	0 es over hty to de l Union (ent cou st with to positive hat redu	evelop Canal Id assi the co ely aga	ers, bus which is st posit mpletic inst the	sinesse s a Met tively ir on of Cr e air qu	s and r ropolit enhar ossrail ality ol	esident an Site ncing th . The s	ts over of ne Cana ite is w	the Il for rithin
Blyth Road, Clayton Road, Printinghouse Lane, Hayes	albeit use o	the tr f land	raffic is and wi	partly Il aid th	respon: e regei	sible fo neratio	r addin n of Ha	g to th yes in (e air qu combin	0 nese site Jality im ation wi anal, in (pacts ith the	in the a impro	irea. Ti ved linl	ne site ks from	repres Crossi	ents a g ail. Th	good e site



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	has a conservation area to the south and listed buildings immediately bordering the boundary. The area is already subject to planning permissions for mixed use development and any future intensification must consider the planning constraints carefully.																
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	++
Pump Lane, Hayes The plan effectively safeguards this area for employment uses over the course of the plan period. The intern of the plan is to facilitate a regeneration of this area to improve the current building stock and diversify the of businesses. This will be an improvement to the existing site. However, any intensification will result in additional air quality impacts. Access to the site could be improved particularly in terms of sustainable transportation reduce the air quality impacts.										fy the r llt in e trans	ange port						
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	++
Bulls Bridge, North Hyde Gardens, Hayes	The plan effectively safeguards this area for employment uses over the course of the plan period. The intention of the plan is to facilitate a regeneration of this area to improve the current building stock and diversify the range of businesses. This will be an improvement to the existing site. However, any intensification will result in additional air quality impacts. Access to the site could be improved particularly in terms of sustainable transport links and the Grand Union Canal could form a major part of that. Any future development of this site must result in measures that encourage sustainable transportation and reduce the air quality impacts.										ange port						



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	/	/	/	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	+	++	++	++
Springfield Road, to the east of Minet Country Park, Hayes	The plan effectively safeguards this area for employment uses over the course of the plan period. The intention of the plan is to facilitate a regeneration of this area to improve the current building stock and diversify the range of businesses and to improve on vacancy rates. The Yeading Brook runs through the site and is a site of importance for nature conservation, there are landfills on site that pose a risk to human and natural receptors, the site is in close proximity to Minet Country Park and therefore has the potential to impact on area of recreation. The site is also in close proximity to a school which should be considered a sensitive receptor. The plan should result in a more efficient use of land but planning decisions will need to make positive allowances for the constraints and ensure they are integrated into future plans. Any future development of this site must result in measures that encourage sustainable transportation and reduce the air quality impacts.												ange rs, ne is for				
	-	/	/	/	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	/	++	++	++
Uxbridge Industrial Estate	area. River propo Grano	The C to the osals f d Unic	Grand L e east. or the s on Cana	Inion C The site ite hav I for an	anal ru e is wel re to be altern	ns thro Il conne able to	ugh the ected to accor eans o	e site, v o Uxbrio nmoda f sustai	vith the dge alth te sens nable t	e River hough s itive re ranspo	Colne k trategi ceptors	orderin c links o s, and w	ng to th could b /ould b	stand no ne west ne impro nenefit f nes). Inv	and th oved. from ut	e Fray' Any fut ilising t	s ure :he



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Stonefield Way, South Ruislip	This Strategic Industrial Location contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located in Ruislip. It contains a mix of business and industries and therefore presents a diverse employment provision. The inclusion of this SIL should ensure future investment in the area which is a positive for Ruislip.																
	-	/	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
North Uxbridge Industrial Area	This Strategic Industrial Location contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located in Uxbridge.											erse					
	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
000																	



	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives																
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	+	/	0	+	++	++	++
Odyssey Business Park	This Strategic Industrial Location contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located in Ruislip. It contains a mix of business and industries and therefore presents a diverse employment provision. The inclusion of this SIL should ensure future investment in the area which is a positive for Ruislip.																
	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Stockley Park	0 0 0 0 +++ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 +++ +++ +++ This is a major business park for the borough and attracts global companies. It is a significant employer for the borough. The site has relatively few constraints with the built development accommodated extensive landscaping. A country park and golf course border the site to the north and the Grand Union Canal to the south. In terms of environmental impacts, there are limited sensitive receptors although as with all the developments in the air quality management area there is a concern about additional traffic. The plan should encourage greater use of the Grand Union Canal to wpath for sustainable access to the site.																
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Bath Road, Hayes	The r None requi	najor i theles remer	ssue re s, the p nts of H	lates to roximi eathro	o air qu ty to He w Airpo	ality wheathrow ort. The	nere lev v make e plan s	vels are s Bath should i	develo e consid Road a require proven	lered to viable any fut	o be be develo	low mii pment	nimum corrido	levels or to su	for hea pport t	lth. he anci	llary



	Sust	Sustainability Appraisal Objectives															
Site Allocation	Air Quality	Biodiversity	Landscape & Heritage	Soil & Water	Flood Risk	Efficient Land Use	Resources and Waste	Climate Change	High Quality Housing	Health, Noise, Safety, Crime	Accessibility	Traffic Reduction	Skills & Education	Design & Amenity	Economic Growth	Business Image	Provision of Jobs
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Packet Boat lane, Cowley	This is an existing mixed use site with retail and industrial provision. The site is well connected and provides a diverse provision of employment. It is bordered by the Grand Union Canal which could be encouraged for sustainable transport methods.								a								
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Braintree Road Industrial Area, South Ruislip	busir	ness an		tries ar	nd ther	efore p	resent	s a dive	erse em	d is wel ployme Ruislip.			•				ould
	-	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	/	0	0	++	++	++
Covert Farm, Heathrow	This site contains a limited number of sensitive receptors and is well located with good links. The main issue as with other development around Heathrow is the adverse air quality impact.																



9.5. School Sites

9.5.1. There are three school sites proposed all of which have received planning permission.

9.6. Minerals Safeguarding

- 9.6.1. Minerals safeguarding relates to existing resources and is influenced by the London Plan. These sites have not been appraised the requirement to safeguard them is set through the London Plan. Furthermore, the identification of these sites is influence solely by the location of aggregates and therefore there are no alternatives.
- 9.6.2. The extraction and working of mineral sites will be subjected to the policies set out earlier in Part 2.

9.7. Land Designations

- 9.7.1. Part 2 of the Local Plan contains a number of land designations that may become a planning constraint in subsequent planning decision. These designations, such as conservation areas, archaeological priority areas, sites of importance for nature conservation and flood zones stem from evidenced based studies.
- 9.7.2. The designation of these sites is not appraised because they are inherently based within the sustainability framework. For example, whether a site should be a flood zone is not an option for this plan, however, whether an allocation is sited in the floodplain is a key objective of the framework.



10.	Appendix 1:	Links to SEA Directive	
-----	-------------	------------------------	--

The information to be included in the Environmental Report	Relevant section in the report
a) An outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme, and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes;	Section 5 Section 7 Appendix 4
 b) The relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan or programme; 	Section 6
c) The environmental characteristics of areas likely to be significantly affected;	Section 7
d) Any existing environmental problems which are relevant to the plan or programme including, in particular, those relating to any areas of a particular environmental importance, such as areas designated pursuant to Directives 79/409/EEC and 92/43/EEC;	Section 5 Section 6 Section 7 Appendix 5
e) The environmental protection objectives, established at international, community or national level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation;	Section 5 Section 7 Appendix 4
 f) The likely significant effects on the environment, including on issues such as biodiversity, population, human health, & fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, cultural heritage including architectural and archaeological heritage, landscape and the interrelationship between the above factors. (Footnote: These effects should include secondary, cumulative, synergistic, short, medium and long-term permanent and temporary, positive and negative effects); 	Section 7
g) The measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and as fully as possible offset any significant adverse effects on the environment of implementing the plan or programme;	Section 7
h) An outline of the reasons for selecting the alternatives dealt with, and a description of how the assessment was undertaken including any difficulties (such as technical deficiencies or lack of know- how) encountered in compiling the required information;	Section 4 Section 7
i) a description of measures envisaged concerning monitoring in accordance with Article 10;	Section 2 Appendix 3
j) a non-technical summary of the information provided under the above headings.	Section 1

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014



11. Appendix 2: Sustainability Appraisal Framework

SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
To improve air quality to a standard that is acceptable for human and ecological health	Will it improve air quality?	 NO_x / NO₂ level PM10 levels Existence of Air Quality Management Plan 	A reduction in NOx and Particulates in line with the Air Quality Action Plan Reversion of the AQMA
To ensure sustainable management and conservation of wildlife and habitats representative of the borough and reverse those in decline	Will it maintain and enhance sites designated for their nature conservation interest?	 Percentage of SSSIs in good condition Number/area of LNR and wildlife heritage sites Number of developments within sites of nature conservation interest 	PSA target of 95% of the SSSI area in favourable or recovering condition by 2020.
	Will it conserve and enhance species diversity, and in particular avoid harm to protected species?	 Percentage of BAP habitats and species implemented 	Existence of a Biodiversity Action Plan
To protect and preserve landscape character, historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to	Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and underused land?	 Percentage Of total length of footpaths/ other rights of way which are easy to use 	87% of footpaths
the community	Will it improve the aesthetics of the borough?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
	Will it protect and enhance sites, features and areas of archaeological and cultural value?	 Percentage of grade I and grade II* properties at risk 	No Target Identified
To avoid the adverse effects of activities and development on the natural functions of soil and water systems	Will it improve the quality of water?	 Percentage of rivers and canals with good or fair biological water quality Percentage of rivers and canals with good or fair chemical water quality 	94% good or fair water quality to be achieved
	Will it reduce water consumption?	 Total Water Leakages (Distribution losses+ Total supply pipe losses) 	8.6% reduction in leakage by 2010 from year 2003-04
	Will it improve soil quality?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified
	Will it minimise the loss of soils to development?	 Percentage of mineral site area restored Net loss of soils to development 	No Target Identified
To reduce contributions towards, and vulnerability to, the effects of climate	Will the policy result in a decrease in green house gases?	 Reduction in CO2 emissions (tonnes) 	20% reduction in carbon emissions by 2015
change	Will it reduce energy consumption?	 Percentage improvement in energy efficiency (Housing sector Energy Efficiency- average SAP rating of authority dwellings 	20% increase in renewable energy generation by 2020



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
	Will it lead to an increased proportion of energy needs being met by renewable sources?	 Percentage of Energy from Renewable Sources. 	10% electricity to come from renewable-2010 and 15% by 2015
	Will it reduce emissions of ozone depleting substances?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce the risk of subsidence?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce the risk of damage from storm events?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
To minimise the hazard risk from flooding in Hillingdon	Will it minimise the risk of flooding?	 Number of properties at risk from flooding 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce threats to floodplains?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will development increase the amount of impermeable surfaces?	 Number of developments incorporating SUDS 	No Target Identified
To ensure efficient use of non renewable resources and minimise the production of	Will it reduce consumption of materials and resources?	Waste production by type	No Target Identified
waste	Will it reduce household waste?	Waste production kg/head/year	530 kg set by the borough
	Will it reduce construction waste?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
	Will it increase waste recovery and recycling	 Percentage of waste recycled or composted waste Percentage of waste land filled 	To recover value from 40% of municipal waste by 2005, 45% by 2010, 67% by 2015 75% of the total amount of biodegradable municipal waste landfilled in 1995 by 2006, 50% by 2009, 35% by 2016
	Will it reduce hazardous waste?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified
To encourage efficient use of available land that will not foreclose on future options	Will it encourage the use of previously developed land?	 Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land Number of private sector vacant dwellings that are returned into occupation or demolished during 2004/05 as a direct result of action by local authority Vacancy levels in Industrial and Business Areas 	60% of new homes to be built on previously developed land
	Will it limit land use options for future use?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified
To create a variety of high quality residential environments that provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent home	Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups?	 Number of affordable homes Number of housing completions 	Current borough target of 130 affordable home completions per year GLA Target of 5,800 more homes by 2016



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
	Will it reduce the number of unfit homes?	 Proportion of local authority homes which were non-decent Number of unfit homes 	15% of LA homes
	Will it reduce homelessness?	Number of homeless households	No Target Identified
	Will it benefit the image of the area as a place to live?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
To provide environments that promote healthy and safe living and reduce anti	Will it promote safer environments?	 Road injuries deaths and seriously injured 	Current borough target of 170/year
social behaviour	Will it encourage Healthy lifestyles?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce illness and death rates?	 Percentage of population in good health Number of GP's per 1000 population 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce actual levels of crime?	 Domestic burglaries per 1000 households Violent crimes/1000 population Robberies/1000 households Vehicle crimes/1000 population Racial incidents/100,000 households 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce the fear of crime?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce noise levels and concerns?	 DEFRA road and air noise mapping 	No Target Identified



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
To improve the ready access to essential services and facilities for all residents	Will it improve accessibility to key local services?	 Percentage of the borough area with an increased Public Transport Accessibility Level 	No Target Identified
	Will it improve investment in key community services?	 Visits to libraries Number per capita Number of visits to/usage of museums/1000 population 	No Target Identified
	Will it improve accessibility to major retail and business centres?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it make access more affordable?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it make access easier for those without a car?	Commuting modeSchool Journey Mode	No Target Identified
	Will it improve access for those with disabilities?	 Percentage of local authority buildings suitable for and accessible by disabled people 	No Target Identified
To promote methods to reduce dependence	Will it help reduce traffic volumes?	Road Traffic growth	No Target Identified
on private transport and manage the effects of traffic on the environment	Will it provide mixed-use development?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it provide new public transport routes?	No indicator Identified	No Target Identified
	Will it locate large trip generators land uses near existing transport interchanges?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
	Will it reduce the effect of HGV's on people and the environment?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce the length of trips?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it increase the proportion of journeys using modes other than the car?	Commuting modeSchool Journey Mode	No Target Identified
	Will it reduce the need to travel, including overseas?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
To provide residents of all ages with the option to access education and skills based enhancement	Will it improve the qualifications and skills of young people?	 Percentage of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* - C or equivalent 	No Target Identified
	Will it improve the qualifications and skills of adults?	 Percentage of population with no qualification Unemployment Level 	No Target Identified
To encourage built environments of high quality urban design that assists in enhancing areas amenity value and	Will it create places and spaces that will enhance community ownership?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
promote community sense of place	Will it attract people and business to the area?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it improve the visual amenity of the area?	 Percentage of applications submitted with a design statement 	No Target Identified



SA Objectives	Sub Questions	Indicators	Targets
To promote growth in the economy whilst improving its environmental and social	Will it reduce incidents of pollution and contamination?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
performance	Will it encourage good employee relations and management practices?	 Business recognised as investors in people 	No Target Identified
	Will it promote growth?	 Net growth in business (VAT registered business) 	No Target Identified
To enhance the image of the borough as a location for new business	Will it attract new investment to the borough?	 VAT registered businesses 	No Target Identified
	Will it attract skilled workers to the area?	 Percentage of economically active people 	No Target Identified
To encourage business to provide a range of jobs and services that will support and	Will it encourage new and innovative business?	 Jobs Density (total jobs to Working age population) 	No Target Identified
enhance existing residential and employment areas	Will it diversify the employment market?	 Percentage of working population with NVQ 1-2, 3-4 or apprenticeship 	No Target Identified
	Will it encourage indigenous business?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it encourage inward investment?	 No indicator Identified 	No Target Identified
	Will it create land for business development?	 No indicator Identified 	



12. Appendix 3: Monitoring

Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To improve air quality to a standard that is acceptable for human health and ecological health	Air Quality	NOx/NO2 level	Air Quality and emissions national targets already set	Compilation of local emissions inventory	Varied	When air quality targets are not met	Consult Air Quality Action Plan to implement further measures
		PM10 levels	Air Quality and emissions national targets already set	Compilation of local emissions inventory	Varied	When air quality targets are not met	Consult Air Quality Action Plan to implement further measures
		Existence of AQMA plan	An Air Quality Action Plan has already been implemented.	London Borough of Hillingdon	Varied	When air quality targets are not met	Investigate causes of air pollution and investigate new measures to overcome it.



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To ensure sustainable management and conservation of wildlife and habitats representative of the borough and reverse those in decline	Enhancement of nature conservation and wildlife	Percentage of SSSIs in good condition	Local data will be fed into Recorder 2000, UK BAP.	London Borough of Hillingdon	Varied	When SSSIs health are beginning to decline	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number/area of LNR and wildlife heritage sites	Local indicators will be collected from Hillingdon's Biodiversity Action Plan	London Borough of Hillingdon	Varied	When LNR and wildlife heritage sites show signs of decline	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number of developments within sites of Nature conservation interest	The number of planning applications for all developments within sites of nature conservation interest will be taken from database software already used in collecting data from	London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually April - March	When the SINC sites shows signs of decline	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To protect and preserve landscape character, historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to the community	Landscape, Historic buildings and Archaeologic al sites	Percentage Of total length of footpaths/ other rights of way which are easy to use	Current GIS data and on site confirmation by LBH officers.	Joint agreement between Green spaces, Transport and PEP to gather information	Annually	If the Percentage of total length of footpaths should diminish below the current 87% target.	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Percentage of grade I and grade II* properties at risk	The number of Listed Building applications for all developments will be taken from database software already used in collecting data from planning applications.	English Heritage buildings at risk register	Annually	If the number of buildings at risk increases	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
To avoid the adverse effects of activities and development on the natural functions of soil and water systems	Soil and water system	Percentage of rivers and canals with good or fair biological water quality	Recorded by the General Quality Assessment (GQA) Scheme	Environment Agency	Annually	When there are signs of low macro- invertebrate wildlife would be on or below grade f	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		Percentage of rivers and canals with good or fair chemical water quality	Recorded by the General Quality Assessment (GQA) Scheme	Environment Agency	Annually	When current levels decrease and the target of 94% Rivers and Canals to be of good to fair quality	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Total Water Leakages (Distribution losses+ Total supply pipe losses)	Comparative leakage performance measured on all water companies	Office for Water Services	Annually	When current levels decrease and the target of 94% Rivers and Canals to be of good to fair quality	Fines imposed on Water bodies that consistently fail to reduce the amount of water leakage.
		Percentage of Mineral sites restored	The number of planning applications for all developments regarding the restoration of mineral sites will be taken from database software already used in collecting data from planning applications.	PEP - London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually	By way of breach of conditions.	Enforcement action if necessary.



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		Net loss of soils to development	Approved applications containing Greenfield/ Open spaces etc	London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually	When the percentage of brownfield development drops below the current 100% level	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
To reduce contributions towards, and vulnerability to, the effects of climate change	Green House gas emissions	Reduction in CO2 emissions (tonnes)	Data from these indicators will be fed into the compilation of local emissions inventory for Hillingdon and this will then be used as a more accurate basis for the review of air quality	London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually	Where levels of greenhouse gas would exceed the current level by of emissions	Consult Air Quality Action Plan to implement further measures
		Percentage improvement in energy efficiency (Housing sector)	The Borough presently has an energy efficient homes of 1.5Percentage	London Borough of Hillingdon (Housing, Building Control & EPU)	Annually	When SAP ratings are reduced or if the 30% target for 2010 doesn't appear to be achievable.	Review of the policy and implementation methods to ascertain the shortfall. Invoke rewards or



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		Energy	Based on a rating of	London	Annually	When SAP	schemes to encourage residents to become more energy efficient. Review of the
		Efficiency- average SAP rating of authority dwellings	between 1 and 120. The higher the number the more efficient the home is. The Borough is currently at 68.2	Borough of Hillingdon (Housing, Building Control & EPU)		ratings are reduced	policy and implementation methods to ascertain the shortfall. Invoke rewards or schemes to encourage residents to become more energy efficient.
	Flooding Rate	Number of properties at risk from flooding	Currently 12,000 properties are at risk from flooding	Environment Agency	Annually	If the number of properties at risk increase	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number of developments	All applications incorporating	Environment Agency	Annually	When proposal in flood risk	Investigate the deficiencies in



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		incorporating SUDS	sustainable urban drainage systems within their proposal.			areas do not propose any alleviation measures.	current policies and amend accordingly
To ensure efficient use of non renewable resources and minimise the production of waste	Waste produced and Percentage of waste composted and recycled	Waste production by type	Assessed with regard to: Amount of municipal waste, Amount of non- household waste and amount of household waste	London Borough of Hillingdon- Waste department	Annually	If the target for waste recycling and composting doesn't meet the national targets of 25% by 2005, 30% by 2010 and 33% by 2015.	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Waste production kg/head/year	Target of 530kg not to be exceeded. Hillingdon is currently at 528kg/head/year. The waste department already records information is a best value indicator. (BV 84).	London Borough of Hillingdon- Waste department	Annually	If the target for waste recycling and composting doesn't meet the national targets of 530kg/head/yea r	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Percentage of waste recycled	Already recorded by the waste department,	London Borough of	Annually	If the target for waste recycling	Investigate the deficiencies in



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		or composted waste	as this is a best value indicator. (BV 82a +b)	Hillingdon- Waste department		and composting doesn't meet the national targets of 25% by 2005, 30% by 2010 and 33% by 2015.	current policies and amend accordingly
		Percentage of waste land filled	Already recorded by the waste department.	London Borough of Hillingdon- Waste department	Annually	If the target for waste recycling and composting doesn't meet the national targets of 25% by 2005, 30% by 2010 and 33% by 2015.	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
To encourage efficient use of available land that will not foreclose on future options	Effective Land use of previously developed land	Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land	The target is to reach 60% by 2008. This indicator is already recorded as a best value (BV 106)	London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually	When the amount of development on Green field land drops significantly beneath the current 100%	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
						levels and 65%	
		Number of private sector vacant dwellings that are returned into occupation or demolished during 2004/05 as a direct result of action by local authority	The target is to reach 300. This indicator is already recorded as a best value (BVPI 64)	London Borough of Hillingdon	Annually	When the number does not reach the 320 target for 2007/08	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Vacancy levels in industrial and business areas	The indicator depends on surveys being taken	London Borough of Hillingdon	Varied	When long term vacancies are noted	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
To create a variety of high quality residential environments that provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent home	Affordable housing	Number of affordable homes	The current target is 130 a year. This will have to increase to fulfil London Plan obligation	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance	Annually	When completions are below targets	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
				Indicator			
		Number of housing completions	The data is collected by housing services annual monitoring programme	London Borough of Hillingdon Housing survey	Annually	When completions are identified to be below need	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Proportion of local authority homes which were non- decent	The current target is 15% for 2007/08	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the target is not achieved	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number of unfit homes	The data is collected by housing services annual monitoring programme	London Borough of Hillingdon Housing survey	Annually	When the number of unfit homes increases significantly	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number of homeless households	The data is collected by housing services annual monitoring programme	London Borough of Hillingdon Housing survey	Annually	When the number increases significantly	Review of policies on the provision of affordable housing



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To provide environments that promote healthy and safe living and reduce anti social behaviour	Health and Crime	Road injuries deaths and seriously injured	Road deaths and injuries have increased in recent years	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the 170 target for 2004/2005 is exceeded	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Percentage of population in good health	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If other health indicators indicate declining levels of health	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Number of GP's per 1000 population	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If a significant number of health facilities close and population increases	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Domestic burglaries per 1000 households	The number of incidents has decreased from previous years	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the target of 19.8 is exceeded	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		Violent crimes/1000 population	The number of incidents has decreased from previous years	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the target of 2.1 is exceeded	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Robberies/1000 households	No trend has been identified	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the target of 2.2 is exceeded	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Vehicle crimes/1000 population	The number of crimes has increased in recent years	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If the target of 22 is exceeded	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Racial incidents/100,00 0 households	The number of incidents has been highlighted as a key issue as they are much larger than national and regional averages	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If numbers continue to increase	Amend policies to encourage more inclusion of ethnic groups in the borough



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		DEFRA road and air noise mapping	GIS data	DEFRA	Varied	When noise levels exceed 80 decibels in residential areas	Implement measures to further promote public transport and identify why traffic is taking those routes
To improve the ready access to essential services and facilities for all residents	Accessibility	Percentage of the borough area with an increased Public Transport Accessibility Level	Data supplied by TFL for GIS	TFL	Varied	If accessibility decreases	Amend policies and review accessibility arrangements in policy
		Visits to libraries Number per capita	Current levels are above targets	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	When the number reduces	Check policies and accessibility of services
		Number of visits to/usage of museums/1000	Currently Hillingdon has no museum	London Borough of Hillingdon Best	Annually	Not Applicable	Promotion of the construction of museums



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
		population		Value Performance Indicator			
		Commuting mode	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If the modal split fails to shift to public transport, walking and cycling	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		School Journey Mode	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If the modal split fails to shift to public transport, walking and cycling	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		Percentage of local authority buildings suitable for and accessible by disabled people	The level is currently significantly below national and regional averages	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance Indicator	Annually	If levels fail to increase	Undertake enforcement activities of the DDA requirements



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To promote methods to reduce dependence on private transport and manage the effects of traffic on the environment	Road Traffic growth	Road Traffic growth	The data comes from the statistics data	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If there is an increase in the road traffic growth with respect to traffic congestion level or air quality	Promote and focus on sustainable transport
		Commuting mode	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If the modal split fails to shift to public transport, walking and cycling	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
		School Journey Mode	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If the modal split fails to shift to public transport, walking and cycling	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly
To provide residents of all ages with the option to access education and skills based enhancement	Education level	Percentage of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* - C or equivalent	Current levels are below national and regional averages and need to improve	London Borough of Hillingdon Best Value Performance	Annually	If levels fail to rise	Investigate the deficiencies in current policies and amend accordingly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
				Indicator			
		Percentage of population with no qualification	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for education and skills based training and amend
		Unemployment Level	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend
To encourage built environments of high quality urban design that assists in enhancing areas amenity value and promote community sense of place		Percentage of applications submitted with a design statement	Design statements will be a requirement for applications as instructed by the HDAS	London Borough of Hillingdon	The indicator could be monitored annually	If applications are approved without statements	Undertake enforcement of the policies
To promote growth in the economy whilst improving its environmental and social performance	Growth of businesses	Business recognised as investors in people	Investor in People will enhance the environmental and social performance by	Quality of life counts	Varied	If the number of businesses accredited under Investor	Encourages the businesses to undertake the people-friendly



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
			enhancing the businesses through people.			in People is decreasing	approach.
		Net growth in business (VAT registered business)	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend
To enhance the image of the borough as a location for new business	Business growth	VAT registered businesses	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend
		Percentage of economically active people	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend



Objective	What needs to be monitored	Indicators	Notes	Where does monitoring data come from?	How often?	At what point, additional action to be considered	What could be done if a problem is identified
To encourage business to provide a range of jobs and services that will support and enhance existing residential and employment areas	Percentage of increase in jobs	Jobs Density (total jobs to Working age population)	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend
		Percentage of working population with NVQ 1-2, 3-4 or apprenticeship	The data comes from the census which is only collected every 10 years	Office for National Statistics (ONS)	Every 10 years	If current levels increase	Investigate deficiencies in policies for employment and amend



13. Appendix 4: Plans and Programmes

Relevant Plans and Programmes: International

Renewed EU Sustainable Development Strategy 2006

Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (The EC Habitats Directive)

Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds (79/409/EEC)

Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

Ambient Air Quality Directive (2008/50/EC)

Landfill Directive 1999/31/EC

Relevant Plans and Programmes: National

UK Strategy for Sustainable Development: 'Securing the Future' (March 2005)

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites

National Flood and Coast Erosion Management Strategy

Flood and Water Management Act 2010

Climate Change Act 2008

Energy Act 2011

The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (Defra, 2007)



Air Quality Standards Regulation 2010

Working with the grain of nature: a Biodiversity Strategy for England, October 2002

Biodiversity Action Plan 1994

The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 as amended by The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2009

Building a Low-Carbon Economy – The UK's Contribution to Tackling Climate Change (Committee on Climate Change, 2008) and the Fourth Carbon Budget: Reducing Emissions Through the 2020s (CCC, 2010)

The Government's Statement on the Historic Environment

Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings

Environment Agency Flood Risk Management Plans

Relevant Plans and Programmes: Regional

The London Plan 2011

Mayor's Air Quality Strategy 2010

Mayor's Biodiversity Strategy 2002

Mayor's Climate Change Adaptation Strategy

Delivering London's energy future - Mayor's Climate Change Mitigation and Energy Strategy

Mayor's ambient noise strategy 2004

Mayor's Housing Strategy and Draft London Housing Strategy



London's wasted resource - Mayor's waste strategy

Securing London's water future - the Mayor's water strategy

Mayor's Transport Strategy 2010

Mayor's Cultural Strategy 2010

London Freight Plan 2007

Archaeology and Planning in Greater London: A charter for the Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service

London Housing Design Guide 2010

Emerging West London Waste Plan 2014

Draft London Housing Strategy 2014

Relevant Plans and Programmes: Local

Local Plan Part 1 2011 (including listed evidence base)

Emerging Flood Risk Management Plan

Air Quality Strategy 2002

Noise Plan 2006

Sustainable Communities Strategy 2008-2018

Employment Land Review - 2014

Gypsy and Travellers Study - 2014

Open Space Strategy 2011-2026



Townscape Character Study - 2013

Archaeological Desk Based Assessment - 2014

Accessible Hillingdon Supplementary Planning Guidance

Additional plans and programmes are listed as part of the evidence base for the Local Plan Part 1 and set out in Government Guidance on other plans and programmes.



14. Appendix 5: Census Factsheets

The following factsheets have been taken from:

http://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/article/7629/Facts-and-statistics-about-the-London-Borough-of-Hillingdon

Data for each ward is also available using this link.



Hillingdon Borough (2011 Census Factsheet)

1 Resident population	0	70.000	10 Ho
Total Male		73,936 33,811	Total n
Female		38,125	With re With no
Residents - in households		67,227	Averag
- in communal establishm		6,709	Densit
Students not at home		3,371	Occup
2 Resident population a			Over-o
0.4	No	%	Under-
0 - 4 year olds	19,704	7.2 13.6	11 Ho
5 - 15 year olds 16 - 24 year olds	37,189 37,570	13.7	types
25 - 59 year olds	131,509	48.0	One pe
60 - 74 year olds	30,847	11.3	Marrieo depeno
75 + year olds	17,117	6.2	Marrie
3 Ethnic group	No	%	depend
White	166,031	60.6	Civil pa
Mixed	10,479	3.8	Co-hat
Asian or Asian British Black or Black British	69,253 20,082	25.3 7.3	depend Co-hat
Arab or other	8,091	3.0	depend
4 Country of Birth	No	%	Lone p
United Kingdom	191,851	70.0	depend
Europe (incl EU)	20,651	7.6	Lone p
Africa	17,226	6.3	depend
Middle East & Asia	39,339	14.4	Other h
Other	4,792	1.8	12 Ho Owner
5 Language – Top 5 La (% of all aged over 3) -			Shared
(% Of all aged over 5) -	201,909 165	uents	Local A
Total number of language	s spoken	80+	Private
		%	Housin
English	212,834	81.2	Rent fr
Panjabi	8,837	3.4	13 Dw
Polish	3,994	1.5	Whole
Tamil Urdu	3,556 3,344	1.4 1.3	bungal Flat, m
Remaining languages	29,424	11.2	apartm
6 Proficiency	No	%	Other
Main Language English	212,834	81.2	14 Ca
Speak English well /	40 915	15.6	No car
Speak English well / very well	40,915	15.6	1 car/v
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English /	40,915 8,240	15.6 3.1	1 car/v 2+ cars
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well	8,240	3.1	1 car/v 2+ cars houset
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion	8,240 No	3.1 %	1 car/v 2+ cars househ 15 Tra
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 <i>Religion</i> Christian	8,240 No 134,813	3.1 % 49.2	1 car/v 2+ cars houset
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion	8,240 No	3.1 %	1 car/v 2+ cars househ 15 Tra (aged
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist	8,240 No 134,813 2,386	3.1 % 49.2 0.9	1 car/v 2+ cars househ 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6	1 car/v 2+ cars housef 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/0
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7	1 car/v 2+ cars housef 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/0 Work a
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6	1 car/v 2+ cars housef 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/0
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0	1 car/v 2+ cars househ 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Ecc
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4	1 car/v 2+ cars housef 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/0 Work a
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 g long-term	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4	1 car/v 2+ cars housel 15 Tra (aged Car/M) Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Eco
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 g long-term	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 all %	1 car/v 2+ cars housel 15 Tra (aged Car/M) Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Eco
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 gl long-term al health of	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4	1 car/v 2+ cars housef 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor 17 Eco
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 glong-term al health of No 39,179	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 all % 14.3	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tre (aged Car/M) Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor Econor Econor
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health Very good/good	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 g long-term al health of No 39,179 230,274	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tra (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/(Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor Econor Econor T7 Eco
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health Very good/good Fair	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 17,495 1669 46,492 17,495 17,495 20,274 31,492	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 7.0 6.4 7.0 84.0 11.5	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Econor Econor Econor 17 Econor Workin Workin Self-en
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 rg long-term al health of No 39,179 230,274 31,492 12,170	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 17.0 6.4 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tre (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Ec Econor Econor Econor 17 Ec Workin Workin Self-en Unemp
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 1669 46,492 17,495 10,407	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4 %	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Econor Econor Econor 17 Econor Workin Workin Self-en
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI) & gener</i> People with LLTI <i>General health</i> Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides no unpaid	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 rg long-term al health of No 39,179 230,274 31,492 12,170	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 17.0 6.4 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Ecc Econor Econor Econor 17 Ecc Workin Workin Self-en Unemp Full-tim 18 Ecc
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI) & gener</i> People with LLTI <i>General health</i> Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides no unpaid care	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 g long-term al health of No 39,179 230,274 31,492 12,170 No 248,031	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4 % 90.5	1 car/v 2+ cars houset 15 Tre (aged Car/M' Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Ec Econor Econor Econor 17 Ec Workin Workin Self-en Unemp Full-tim 18 Ec Long te
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI 6 General health Very god/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides no unpaid care Provides 1-19hrs p/wk	8,240 No 134,813 2,363 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 I0,749 17,495 I0,92 17,495 I0,92 17,495 I0,92 I	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4 % 90.5 6.0	1 car/v 2+ cars houset 15 Tre (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/(Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor Econor 17 Eco Workin Workin Self-en Unemp Full-tim 18 Eco
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI General health Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides no unpaid care Provides 1-19hrs p/wk Provides 20-49 hrs	8,240 No 134,813 2,386 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 g long-term al health of No 39,179 230,274 31,492 12,170 No 248,031	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4 % 90.5	1 car/v 2+ cars house 15 Tra (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/C Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor 17 Eco Workin Self-en Unemp Full-tim 18 Eco Long te Retireo
Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with limitin <i>illness (LLTI)</i> & gener People with LLTI 6 General health Very god/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides no unpaid care Provides 1-19hrs p/wk	8,240 No 134,813 2,363 22,033 1,753 29,065 18,230 1,669 46,492 17,495 I0,749 17,495 I0,92 17,495 I0,92 17,495 I0,92 I	3.1 % 49.2 0.9 8.0 0.6 10.6 6.7 0.6 17.0 6.4 14.3 84.0 11.5 4.4 % 90.5 6.0	1 car/v 2+ cars houset 15 Tre (aged Car/M Public Foot Cycle/(Work a 16 Eco Econor Econor Econor 17 Eco Workin Workin Self-en Unemp Full-tim 18 Eco

10 Households		100
Total number of household	S	103,990
With residents With no permanent resider	te	100,214 3,776
Average household size		2.7
Density		23.7
Occupancy rates	No	%
Over-occupying	15,891	15.9
Under-occupying	60,442	60.3
11 Household & family	No	%
types		
One person household	25,290	25.5
Married couple: with	21,713	21.7
dependent children Married couple: no	24,974	24.9
dependent children	24,974	24.9
Civil partnership couples	133	0.1
Co-habiting couple: with	3,630	3.6
dependent children		
Co-habiting couple: no	5,567	5.6
dependent children	0 770	0.0
Lone parent: with dependent children	9,773	9.8
Lone parent: no	4,847	4.8
dependent children	.,047	.
Other households	4,287	4.3
12 Housing tenure	No	%
Owner occupied	63,011	62.9
Shared ownership	1,280	1.3
Local Authority rented	10,481	10.5
Private rented	18,141 6,271	18.1
Housing Associations Rent free	1,030	6.3 1.0
13 Dwelling type	1,030 No	%
Whole house or	73,831	73.7
bungalow		
Flat, maisonette or	26,131	26.1
apartment	150	
Other	156	0.25
14 Car ownership No car/van in household	^ 22,71	lo % 16 22.7
1 car/van in household	43,94	
2+ cars/vans in	33,55	
household	00,00	00.4
15 Travel to work		lo %
(aged 16-74) – 199,926		
Car/M'cycle/Taxi/Van	74,54	
Public Transport	38,83	
Foot	9,19	
Cycle/Other Work at home	2,60	
16 Economic activity (%	5,12	
To Economic activity (%	Male	
Economically active	76.6	
Economically inactive	23.4	
17 Economically active (
Working full-time	Male 47.1	Female
Working full-time	47.1	33.9 17.9
Working part-time Self-employed	14.1	4.6
Unemployed	4.7	4.0
Full-time student	5.1	4.6
18 Econ. inactive (% of a		
	Male	Female
Long term sick/disabled	2.9	3.0
Retired	8.7	11.6
Looking after home/family	0.8	9.5
Students	8.9	7.9
Other	2.1	2.9

Male Femal 15 hours & under 3.4 6. 16 - 30 hours 5.3 12.	
15 hours & under 3.4 6. 16 - 30 hours 5.3 12.	
16 - 30 hours 5.3 12.	
31 - 48 hours 35.9 25.	
49+ hours 9.3 2.	/
20 Qualified residents (% aged 16+) – 217,043 No %	6
Level 4 and above 60,854 28.	0
Level 3 27,094 12.	
Level 1 or 2 61,189 28.	
Apprenticeships 6,316 2.	
Other 20,042 9.	
No qualifications 41,548 19.	1
21 Occupations (% of all	
aged 16-74 in work)	6
Managerial 13,353 10.	
Professional & technical 39,198 30.	
Admin & secretarial 17,313 13.	
Skilled trades 13,565 10.	
Caring, Leisure etc 11,542 8.	
Services & sales 11,242 8.	
Plant & machine operatives 9,716 7.	
Elementary occupations 14,361 11.	0
22 Socio-economic group (% of all aged 16-74) – No %	6
199,926	-
Higher managerial 19,992 10.	0
Lower managerial 39,908 20.	0
Intermediate occupations 28,793 14.	4
Small employers 17,701 8.	9
Lower supervisory 13,303 6.	7
Semi-routine occupations 23,348 11.	
Routine occupations 17,518 8.	
Never worked 10,039 5.	
Long term unemployed 3,499 1.	
Full time students 25,825 12.	
	-
	6
Energy, agriculture, mining 1,424 1.	
Manufacturing 6,978 5.	
Construction 10,567 8.	
Hotels & catering 7,540 5.	
Transport & Comms 21,482 16.	
Repair of motor vehicles 20,635 15.	7
Finance 4,864 3.	
Finance4,8643.Property management1,7081.	3
Finance 4,864 3. Property management 1,708 1. Public Admin & defence 14,995 11.	3 5
Finance4,8643.Property management1,7081.	3 5
Finance 4,864 3. Property management 1,708 1. Public Admin & defence 14,995 11.	3 5 5
Finance 4,864 3. Property management 1,708 1. Public Admin & defence 14,995 11. Education 12,350 9.	3 5 5 3



© Crown Copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland



London (2011 Census Factsheet)

London (2011 Cen	sus Fac
1 Resident population		
Total		73,941
Male		33,289
Female Residents in household		40,652
Residents - in househol		73,700
- in communal establish	iments	00,241 99,779
Students not at home	a a a a a truatu	
2 Resident population	No No	re %
0 - 4 year olds	591,495	7.2
5 - 15 year olds	1,033,273	12.6
16 - 24 year olds	1,008,032	12.3
25 - 59 year olds	4,293,802	52.5
60 - 74 year olds	815,648	10.0
75 + year olds	431,691	5.3
3 Ethnic group	No	%
White	4,887,435	59.8
Mixed	405,279	5.0
Asian or Asian British	1,511,546	18.5
Black or Black British	1,088,640	13.3
Arab or other	281041	3.4
4 Country of Birth	No	%
United Kingdom Europe (incl EU)	5,175,677 955,488	63.3 12.2
Africa		7.6
Middle East & Asia	621,613 966,990	11.8
Other	414,147	5.1
5 Language – Top 5 l	anguages si	noken
(% of all aged over 3)	- 7.809.942	Joken
residents	.,	
Total number of language	ges spoken	79+
		%
English	6,083,420	77.9
Polish	147,816	1.9
Bengali	114,267	1.5
Gujarati	101,676	1.3
French	84,191	1.1
Remaining languages	1,278,572	16.3
6 Proficiency Main Language	No 6,083,420	% 77.9
English	0,003,420	11.5
Speak English well /		
very well	1,406,912	18.0
Can't speak English /	319,610	4.1
not well		
7 Religion	No	%
Christian	3,957,984	48.4
Buddhist	82,026	1.0
Hindu	411,291	5.0
Jewish Muslim	148,602	1.8 12.4
Sikh	1,012,823 126,134	12.4
Other	47,970	0.6
No religion	1,694,372	20.7
Not stated	692,739	8.5
8 Residents with limit		
illness (LLTI) & gen		all
	No	%
People with LLTI	1,157,165	14.1
General health		
Very good/good	6,853,433	83.8
Fair	915,035	11.2
Very bad/bad	405,473	5.0
9 Unpaid Carers	No	%
Provides:	7,483,968	91.6
no unpaid care		
1-19hrs p/wk	435,278	5.3
20-49 hrs p/wk	105,399	1.3
50+ hrs p/wk	149,296	1.8
-		

tsheet)		
10 Households		
Total number of househ	olds	3,387,255
With residents		3,266,173
With no permanent resid		121,082
Average household size	•	2.5
Density		52.0
Occupancy rates	No	%
Over-occupying	707,437	21.7
Under-occupying	1,666,514	51.0
11 Household & family types	No	%
One person household	1,030,558	31.6
Married couple: with	560,627	17.2
dependent children Married couple: no	609,346	18.7
dependent children Civil partnership	10,122	0.3
couples Co-habiting couple:	101,065	3.1
with dependent children		
Co-habiting couple: no dependent children	234,944	7.2
Lone parent: with dependent children	325,806	10.0
Lone parent: no dependent children	153,753	4.7
Other households	239,952	7.3
12 Housing tenure	No	%
Owner occupied	1,576,207	48.3
Shared ownership	42,108	1.3
Local Authority rented	439,727	13.5
Private rented	819,085	25.1
Housing Associations	346,226	10.6
Rent free	42,780	1.3
13 Dwelling type	No	%
Whole house or bungalow	1,579,008	48.3
Flat, maisonette or apartment	1,649,118	50.5
Other	38,047	1.2
14 Car ownership		lo %
No car/van in	1,357,25	41.6
household 1 car/van in	1 224 02	10 F
household	1,324,03	
2+ cars/vans in household	584,89	0 17.9
15 Travel to work		lo %
(aged 16-74)		
Car/M'cycle/Taxi/Van	1,256,77	
Public Transport	1,996,58	
Foot	352,61	
Cycle/Other	190,24	
Work at home	202,67	
16 Economic activity		
Economically active	Male 77.5	
Economically inactive	22.5	34.0
17 Economically activ	ve (% of all ad	ed 16-74)
,	Male	Female
Working full-time	44.8	35.0
Working part-time	6.7	15.0
Self-employed	16.1	7.3
Unemployed	5.8	4.6
Full-time student	4.1	4.0
18 Econ. inactive (% o	of all aged 16	
	Male	Female
Long term sick/disabled		3.6
Retired	7.1	9.6
Looking after home/fam		9.5
Students	7.9	7.7
Other	2.8	3.6

19 Weekly hours worked		
	Male	Female
15 hours & under	3.2	5.4
16 - 30 hours	6.2	10.8
31 - 48 hours	32.7	25.7
49+ hours	11.2	4.6
20 Qualified residents	No	0/
(% aged 16+) – 6 540 173	No	%
6,549,173 Level 4 and above	2,470,225	37.7
Level 3	685,508	10.5
Level 1 or 2	1,478,615	22.6
Apprenticeships	107,665	1.6
Other	654,643	10.0
No qualifications	1,152,517	17.6
21 Occupations (% of	.,	
all aged 16-74 in work)	No	%
Managerial	464,272	11.6
Professional & technical	1,549,076	38.7
Admin & secretarial	466,488	11.7
Skilled trades	322,674	8.3
Caring, Leisure etc	314,023	7.9
Services & sales	299,222	7.5
Plant & machine operatives	189,123	4.7
Elementary occupations	384,019	9.6
22 Socio-economic	001,010	0.0
group (% of all aged	No	%
16-74) - 6,117,482		
Higher managerial	807,936	13.2
Lower managerial	1,410,785	23.1
Intermediate occupations	723,354	11.8
Small employers	575,331	9.4
Lower supervisory	305,781	5.0
Semi-routine occupations	633,790	10.4
Routine occupations	453,923	734
Never worked	381,748	6.2
Long term unemployed	124,542	2.0
Full time students	700,292	11.4
23 Industries – 3,998,897	No	%
Energy, agriculture, mining	32,534	0.8
Manufacturing	128,905	3.2
Construction	262,356	6.6
Hotels & catering	251,574	6.3
Transport & Comms	476,691	11.9
Repair of motor vehicles	522,204	13.1
Finance	306,443	7.7
		2.0
Property management	79,520	
Public Admin & defence	435,736	10.9
Education	384,156	9.6
Health & social work	428,586	10.7
Other	690,192	17.3
Source:		
Office for National		
Statistics - 2011	2	- 5
Census		nsu
		Census
	1	

₩ILLI NGDON LONDON

© Crown Copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland



England & Wales (2011 Census Factsheet)

	on		
Total		75,912	
Male	27,5	73,376	
Female		02,536	
Residents - in househ		71,113	
- in communal establis		04,799	
Students not at home		685,206	
2 Resident population			
	No	%	
0 - 4 year olds	3,496,750	6.2	
5 - 15 year olds	7,082,382 6,658,636	12.6	
16 - 24 year olds		11.9	
25 - 59 year olds	26,237,909	46.8	
60 - 74 year olds	8,229,995	14.7	
75 + year olds	4,370,240	7.8	
3 Ethnic group	No	%	
White	48,209,395	86.0	
Mixed	1,224,400	2.2	
Asian or Asian British	4,213,531	7.5	
Black or Black British	1,864,890	3.3	
Arab or other	563,696	1.0	
4 Country of Birth	No	%	
United Kingdom	48,570,902	86.6	
Europe (incl EU)	2,748,455	4.9	
Africa	1,312,617	2.3	
Middle East & Asia	2,587,066	4.6	
Other 5 Language – Top 5	856,774	1.5	
English	49,808,185	% 92.3	
Polish	546,174	1.0	
Panjabi	273,231	0.5	
Urdu	268,680	0.5	
Bengali	004 400		
	221,403	0.4	
Remaining	2,843,778	0.4 5.3	
6 Proficiency	2,843,778 No	0.4 5.3 %	
6 Proficiency Main Language English	2,843,778	0.4 5.3	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well	2,843,778 No	0.4 5.3 %	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English	2,843,778 No 49,808,185	0.4 5.3 % 92.3	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743	0.4 5.3 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346	0.4 5.3 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.8	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.8 0.4	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 5.0.5 4.8 0.8 0.4 25.1	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032	0.4 5.3 92.3 6.1 1.6 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.8 0.4 25.1 7.2	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 niting long-term	0.4 5.3 92.3 6.1 1.6 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.8 0.4 25.1 7.2	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 nting long-term neral health of No	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.88 0.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 %	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 iting long-term neral health of	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.88 0.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 %	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion No religion No religion No t stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 nting long-term neral health of No	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.8 0.4 2.5 1.6 % 7.2 2 all % 17.9	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion No religion No religion No t stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 iting long-term neral health of No 10,048,441	0.4 5.3 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 all	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI Very good/good Fair	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 240,530 14,097,229 14,038,032 14,038,038	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 8 1.7.9 8 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 14,039,729 4,038,032 14,097,229 4,038,032 14,048,441 45,529,229 7,401,881 3,144,802	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 4.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 7.2 81.2 1.2 5.6	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides:	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 240,530 14,097,229 14,038,032 14,038,038	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 4.1 59.3 0.4 1.5 4.8 0.4 1.5 4.8 0.4 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides: no unpaid care	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 iting long-term neral health of No 10,048,8411 45,529,229 7,401,881 3,144,802 No 50,275,666	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 8.8 0.4 25.1 % 80.8 0.4 25.1 % 80.8 0.4 25.3 80.8 0.4 1.5 80.8 80.8 80.4 1.7 80.8 80	
6 Proficiency Main Language English Speak English well / very well Can't speak English / not well 7 Religion Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslim Sikh Other No religion Not stated 8 Residents with lim illness (LLTI) & ge People with LLTI Very good/good Fair Very bad/bad 9 Unpaid Carers Provides:	2,843,778 No 49,808,185 3,290,116 863,150 No 33,243,175 247,743 816,633 263,346 2,706,066 423,158 240,530 14,097,229 4,038,032 iting long-term neral health of No 10,048,441 45,529,229 7,401,881 3,144,802 No	0.4 5.3 % 92.3 6.1 1.6 % 59.3 0.4 1.5 0.5 0.5 4.8 0.4 25.1 7.2 8 1.7.9 81.2 1.3.2 5.6 %	

(2011 Census Fa	actsi	ieet)	
10 Households			
Total number of households			24,429,618
With residents			23,366,044
With no permanent re		S	1,063,574
Average household size	ze		2.4
Occupancy rates		No	%
Over-occupying	19	995,860	8.5
Under-occupying		070,912	73.1
	17,0		%
11 Household & family types		No	%
One person	7.(067,261	30.2
household	.,.	,	
Married couple: with	3,7	797,364	16.3
dependent children		000.000	00.0
Married couple: no dependent children	6,4	292,369	26.9
Civil partnership		36,680	0.1
couples		00,000	0.1
Co-habiting couple:	1,0	004,254	4.3
with dependent			
children			
Co-habiting couple:	1,:	587,840	6.8
no dependent children			
Lone parent: with	18	354.883	7.9
dependent children	,,,	,000	1.0
Lone parent: no	9	901,362	3.9
dependent children			
Other households	8	324,031	3.5
12 Housing tenure		No	%
Owner occupied		358,678	63.6
Shared ownership		178,236	0.8
Local Authority rent		208,080	9.4
Private rented		900,178	16.7
Housing Assoc Rent free		910,381 315,491	8.2
		No	1.4 %
13 Dwelling type Whole house or	18 3	371,818	78.6
bungalow	10,0	,010	70.0
Flat, maisonette or	4,8	329,325	20.7
apartment			
Other		164,901	0.7
14 Car ownership		N	
No car/van		5,989,77	
1 car/van in		9,861,64	2 42.2
household 2+ cars/vans in		7,514,63	2 32.2
household		7,514,05	2 32.2
15 Travel to work			
(aged 16-74)		N	o %
Car/M'cycle/Taxi/		16,974,03	9 41.3
Van			
Public Transport		4,349,26	
Foot		2,846,58	
Cycle/Other		933,73	
Work at home		1,422,70	
16 Economic activit	y (% o		1 16-74) Eamo/-
Economically active		<i>Male</i> 74.9	Female 64.5
Economically inactive		25.1	35.5
17 Economically act	tive (%		
	•	Male	Female
Working full time			30.5
Working full-time		46.6	
Working part-time		6.1	21.2
Working part-time Self-employed		6.1 13.8	21.2 5.7
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed		6.1 13.8 5.3	21.2 5.7 3.5
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed Full-time student		6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed	6 of all	6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2 I aged 16-	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7 74)
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed Full-time student 18 Econ. inactive (%		6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2 aged 16 - Male	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7 74) Female
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed Full-time student 18 Econ. inactive (% Long term sick/disable		6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2 aged 16 - Male 4.4	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7 74) Female 3.9
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed Full-time student 18 Econ. inactive (% Long term sick/disable Retired	ed	6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2 aged 16 - Male	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7 74) <i>Female</i> 3.9 15.7
Working part-time Self-employed Unemployed Full-time student 18 Econ. inactive (% Long term sick/disable	ed	6.1 13.8 5.3 3.2 1 aged 16- Male 4.4 11.9	21.2 5.7 3.5 3.7 74) Female 3.9

19 Weekly hours worked	Male	Female
15 hours & under	3.0	6.5
16 - 30 hours	5.2	14.3
31 - 48 hours	34.5	23.2
49+ hours	10.1	3.1
20 Qualified		
residents (% aged		
16+) – 45,496,780	No	o %
Level 4 and above	12,383,477	27.2
Level 3	5,617,802	12.3
Level 1 or 2	12,985,817	
Apprenticeships	1,631,777	3.6
Other	2,570,580	5.7
No qualifications	10,307,327	22.7
21 Occupations (% of		
all aged 16-74 in	No) %
work) – 26,526,336		
Managerial	2,860,702	
Professional & tech	7,982,072	
Admin & secretarial	3,034,637	
Skilled trades	3,041,957	
Caring, Leisure etc	2,492,117	
Services & sales	2,240,869	8.4
Plant & machine operatives	1,919,017	7.2
Elementary occup's	2,954,965	5 11.1
22 Socio-economic	2,004,000	/ 11.1
group (% of all		
aged 16-74) –	No) %
6,117,482		
Higher managerial	4,220,766	10.3
Lower managerial	8,571,458	20.8
Intermediate occup's	5,240,440) 12.7
Small employers	3,872,779	
Lower supervisory	2,857,185	
Semi-routine occup's	5,789,519	
Routine occupations	4,564,916	
Never worked	1,594,690	
Long term unemployed	706,924	
Full time students	3,707,863	
23 Industries –		
26,526,336 residents	No) %
Energy, agriculture,	612,431	2.3
mining	012,401	2.0
Manufacturing	2,369,998	8.9
Construction	2,043,229	
Hotels & catering	1,484,838	
Transport & Comms	2,368,672	
Repair motor vehicles	4,220,124	
Finance	1,145,488	
Property management	384,499	
Public Admin & defence	2,885,402	
Education	2,628,063	
Health & social work	3,318,464	
Other	3,065,128	
Guier	3,003,120	, 11.0



LONDON

© Crown Copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

London Borough of Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 - Sustainability Appraisal Final Version July 2014

775,189

1,359,985

1.4

2.4

20-49 hrs p/wk

50+ hrs p/wk